



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM] Editor Decision

2 messages

Michael E. Auer <auer@cti-online.net>

Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 9:06 PM

Reply-To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>

To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Dear author(s) after collecting reviewers comments I am glad to inform you that your manuscript is suitable for publication if you correct the issues mentioned below:

What about the risks, threats to validity of your research? Please mention.
The research design methodology is unclear. We need more info on the method than just to describe its design.
What is the actual contribution to the literature and how did you evaluate the findings?
The discussion and recommendations lack depth.
The figure 1 is unnecessary as it is not presenting useful data. If necessary, add a table instead the figure describing the data.
The discussion should be more concise, and the outcomes should be discussed in relation to the existing research.
Methods: The methods do not adequately explain how the research was conducted.
Results: The results are not clearly explained, and an appropriate analysis has not been conducted.
Conclusion/Discussion: The claims in this section are not supported adequately by the results. Further the authors don't indicate how the results relate to expectations and to earlier research.
The standard of the writing, including spelling and grammar must improve.
Please include if possible up to three references to papers from this journal or other journals from <http://www.online-journals.org> as you agreed in the first step of the submission process.
Consider using the following reference regarding the mobile learning and especially the use of smart devices in the introduction section:
Papadakis, S., Vaiopoulou, J., Kalogiannakis, M., & Stamovlasis, D. (2020). Developing and Exploring an Evaluation Tool for Educational Apps (ETEA) Targeting Kindergarten Children. Sustainability, 12(10), 4201.

Please inform me if you plan to upload a paper according to the corrections or you want to withdraw your original manuscript.

With best regards,

International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies
<http://www.i-jim.org>

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 19, 2020 at 6:18 PM

To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>

Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Dear Editor,

Thank you for the decision. We will be working to address the issues in the next few days

Best wishes,

Dr. Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM] Editor Decision

4 messages

Michael E. Auer <auer@cti-online.net>
Reply-To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Mon, Aug 3, 2020 at 7:07 PM

Purnomo Ananto:

There are too many weeks since you have received our review proposals but you still have not uploaded a revised version of your manuscript. Please can you answer me as soon as possible if you plan shortly to upload a revised version of your paper otherwise I have to withdraw it from the journal submission system?

With best regards,

Stamatios Papadakis
University of Crete
stpapadakis@gmail.com

International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies
<http://www.i-jim.org>

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 5, 2020 at 12:21 PM

Dear Dr Papadakis

We are finishing the revision this week and will send you by Saturday. I am sorry for the delay, one among our colleagues has been identified positive Covid 19, and thus every of us has been isolated and the campus has been shut down.

We have been working from home, though it is quite difficult to have discussion with the second author.

But we will revise it as soon as possible and send it to you by Saturday, August 8, 2020.

Best wishes,

Dr. Purnomo
[Quoted text hidden]

Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 5, 2020 at 3:39 PM

Great, thanks for the update.
Please send me the title of your paper.

With regards,

--Stamatios Papadakis, PhD--
Postdoctoral Fellow/Instructor
Faculty of Education | Department of Preschool Education
The University of Crete, Greece
-EU Code Week Ambassador Greece
-EU Robotics National Coordinator Greece
-eTwinning National Ambassador Greece
Online identities:
ResearchGate: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Stamatios_Papadakis

Google scholar: <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=e3vLZegAAAAJ&hl=en&oi=ao>
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3184-1147>



 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail !

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 10, 2020 at 11:57 PM

Dear Prof. Papadakis

Please find the attached of our second revised paper. We have done our best to address your comments. Your comments have given us insights and were really helpful to alert us on particular issues in the paper. Therefore, we thank you for your views that have helped us improve the quality of the paper. We hope that the paper now has met the quality standard of your journal articles. Again, thank you very much.


Please let us know if you need any further information from us.


Best wishes,

Dr. Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

 **REV2_Response to reviewers.docx**
24K

 **10AUG2020_REV 2_Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting.docx**
277K

Response to reviewers

Title: Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting

Authors: Dr. Purnomo Ananto, Ms. Sri Kusuma Ningsih

No	Editor/ Reviewer comments	Response	Reference
1	What is the potential threats of validity?	<p>Additional information is added to the text regarding the threats of validity:</p> <p>Although the statistical calculation of the six constructs of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) section and connected learning environment has suggested validity of the instruments, several conditions could be found to contribute to the UTAUT and connected learning e.g. hardware reliability, internet speed and reliability (see Papadakis, Vaiopoulou, Kalogiannakis, and Stamovlasis [1]). While the current study only involved some cohorts of students from one vocational higher education, the findings cannot present the views of the Indonesian higher education students.</p>	P. 8
	What is the actual contribution of the study to the literature	<p>We have additional information in the literature review section</p> <p>The current study contributes to the current literature of the unified theory of technology acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) and connected learning contextualized in Indonesian vocational higher education. More importantly, the study will demonstrate the potential</p>	p. 4

		<p>use of mixed method approach in examining students' acceptance of smartphone for learning and their connectedness in the learning itself.</p>	
	<p>Research design is not clear</p> <p>The method does not adequately explain how the research was conducted</p>	<p>We have added more information about survey method and qualitative interview method</p> <p>A survey method was adopted in the quantitative design. According to Cohen, Manion, Morrison and Bell [2], survey provide an opportunity for researchers to collect quantitative data with time efficient. Moreover, they also argue that survey enables the researchers to target abundant number of study participants and generate quantitative data at their ease. In the current study, a research questionnaire was administered to a total of 221 students across education programmes in the polytechnic which 174 of whom completed it (see section 3.2.).</p> <p>Other information:</p> <p>Student written reflection method was employed in the qualitative research design to capture students' views on the incorporation of smartphone to support their learning inside and outside the classroom. Zacharias [3] argues the use of students' reflection to a tool to explore the student participants' voices in the classroom, allowing researchers to recognise the discrepancy between what teachers expect from the students and what students have learned. To this end, the reflection-on-action (RoA) method was adopted in which six</p>	<p>P. 4-5</p>

		students were asked to write a reflection on what they had experienced during the incorporation of smartphone to support the learning activities.	
	<p>The discussion and recommendation is lack of depth</p> <p>The discussion should be more concise, and the outcomes should be discussed in relation to the existing research</p> <p>The authors do not indicate how the results relate to expectations and to the earlier research</p>	<p>We have rewritten and emphasised - with blue colour, the discussions (of the findings) that correspond to the earlier research.</p> <p>E.g. Findings from the quantitative data analysis suggest that students' acceptance of incorporating social media to promote their m-learning was statistically influenced by their attitude and connectedness to the application. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. This finding corresponds with the earlier study by Dhume, Pattanshetti, Kamble, and Prasad [4] and Teo, Lee, Chai, and Wong [5], suggesting that students' acceptance of technology use is associated with students' attitude and intention to use social media for learning. In other words, students' attitude plays a key role in understanding their acceptance of using technology for educational purposes.</p>	P. 11-12
	The figure 1 is unnecessary	We have deleted figure 1	
	The research are not clearly explained, and an appropriate analysis has not been conducted	The quantitative analysis was performed using further structural equation modelling (SEM). We had rerun the analyses several times using the procedure and threshold described in literature [6]–[8]. The results were consistent. We thus	

		believed that our quantitative analysis is appropriate.	
	<p>Consider using the following reference regarding the mobile learning and especially the use of smart devices in the introduction section:</p> <p>Papadakis, S., Vaiopoulou, J., Kalogiannakis, M., & Stamovlasis, D. (2020). Developing and Exploring an Evaluation Tool for Educational Apps (ETEA) Targeting Kindergarten Children. <i>Sustainability</i>, 12(10), 4201.</p>	<p>We adopt the British English spelling e.g. contextualise that may be indicated misspelled in American English language setting</p> <p>We have added three references from online-journals</p> <p>We also have added some additional information from the paper in the introduction section:</p> <p>Papadakis, Vaiopoulou, Kalogiannakis, and Stamovlasis [1] have argued the values of several digital devices in addressing the limitation of the ‘traditional’ graphic user interface from PCs or laptops computers. Papadakis et al also assert that the incorporation of the digital devices may provide an opportunity for students to personalise their learning experiences and improve students’ engagement as well.</p>	p. 2

References:

- [1] S. Papadakis, J. Vaiopoulou, M. Kalogiannakis, and D. Stamovlasis, ‘Developing and exploring an evaluation tool for educational apps (ETEA) targeting kindergarten children’, *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 4201, 2020.
- [2] L. Cohen, L. Manion, K. Morrison, and R. Bell, *Research methods in education*, 8th ed. London: Routledge, 2018.
- [3] N. Zacharias, ‘Prompting second language writers for productive reflection using narrative questioning prompt’, *Indones. JELT*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 115–133, 2019.
- [4] S. M. Dhume, M. Y. Pattanshetti, S. S. Kamble, and T. Prasad, ‘Adoption of social media by business education students: Application of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)’, in *2012 IEEE International Conference on Technology Enhanced Education (ICTEE)*, 2012, pp. 1–10.
- [5] T. Teo, C. B. Lee, C. S. Chai, and S. L. Wong, ‘Assessing the intention to use technology among pre-service teachers in Singapore and Malaysia: A multigroup

- invariance analysis of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)', *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 1000–1009, 2009.
- [6] C. M. Ringle, S. Wende, and J.-M. Becker, 'SmartPLS 3'. Boenningstedt: SmartPLS GmbH, <http://www.smartpls.com>, 2015.
- [7] J. F. Hair Jr, G. T. M. Hult, C. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage publications, 2017.
- [8] G. D. Garson, *Partial least squares: Regression and structural equation models*. Statistical Associates Publishing, 2016.

Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting

Purnomo Ananto^(✉)

State Polytechnic of Kreatif Media, Jakarta, Indonesia
purnomo.ananto@polimedia.ac.id

Sri Kusuma Ningsih

University of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA, Jakarta, Indonesia
sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id

Abstract—This brief article reports on an exploration of students' adoption of smartphone technology and social media to promote m-learning in the context of a state polytechnic classroom. To this end, a mixed-methods approach was employed. A quantitative survey involved 221 students across education programmes in an Indonesian vocational higher education, and six of them were asked to write a reflection after a course. Findings of the current study have revealed that students' attitude and connectedness to the smartphone and social media play prominent roles in determining their acceptance of smartphones and social media for m-learning. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. Findings of the study also revealed that students' mobile learning using the smartphone helped develop their learning motivation, facilitated learning activities and enabled interaction amongst the students, and between teachers and students. Students also benefited from the use of the smartphone by which means they could share information and materials about the learning, enabled peer-assessment and feedback. Two critical issues that were found included limited smartphone features and less space for interaction and explanation.

Keywords—Smartphone, mobile learning, polytechnic, learning motivation, interaction, learning performance

1 Introduction

The advancement of mobile technologies has promoted the shift of online learning application and practices from the use of personal computers to portable devices such as pocket PCs, tablet computers and smartphones [1]. Mobile learning (henceforth m-learning) is a term commonly used to present a connection of e-learning and mobile computing, providing students with opportunities to experience learning in both formal

and informal educational settings [2]. Digital devices that students can use to access m-learning include smartphones, laptops, iPods, personal digital assistants and other devices [1], [3]–[5]. Papadakis, Vaiopoulou, Kalogiannakis, and Stamovlasis [6] have argued the values of several digital devices in addressing the limitation of the ‘traditional’ graphic user interface from PCs or laptops computers. Papadakis et al also assert that the incorporation of the digital devices may provide an opportunity for students to personalise their learning experiences and improve students’ engagement as well. Besides, Gikas and Grant [7] suggest the benefits of mobile computing devices for m-learning, including that they provide more educational opportunities for students in remote areas to access course content and to interact with teachers and other students. Some authors also believe that the incorporation of mobile computing devices in conjunction with social media will create more access for interaction and communication which will, thus, enhance learning [7], [8].

The incorporation of the smartphone and social media to enhance learning in the higher education context is evidenced in the literature [1], [4], [7], [8]. Social media is used not only to facilitate interaction and communication but also to provide more accessible learning resources, to promote collaborative learning [9]–[11] and to create an authentic learning environment [4], [12]. Sobaih, Moustafa, Ghandforoush and Khan [13] suggest that social media can be an alternative online learning solution for developing countries who often lack an infrastructure, communication technology and other advanced online learning management systems.

The current study aims to explore the adoption of smartphone technology in students’ m-learning during their courses in a state polytechnic classroom. Particularly, it addresses two questions as below:

1. What are students’ technological acceptance and connectedness to learning to the use of social media to promote m-learning?
2. To what extent does m-learning with the smartphone and social media facilitate students’ learning motivation, interaction and learning performance?

2 Literature review

2.1 Mobile learning in a higher education setting

Mobile learning has been widely practised in higher education across the world. For instance, a survey by Dahlstrom [14] suggested that students are currently leading the implementation of mobile technological devices into their classrooms. Moreover, 67% of the surveyed students expressed the view that mobile technology is undoubtedly crucial to their academic activities and outcomes. Moreover, advanced mobile technology has enabled the integration of a learning management system into many mobile operating systems [15]. Literature has also suggested that m-learning could reduce the gap between formal and informal learning environment allowing students to access learning resources with their preferences anytime and anywhere [16]–[18]. Pedro et al. [17] argue that the incorporation of m-learning in and outside the classroom promotes immediacy, mobility and convenience in learning.

There is a body of literature that suggests that the incorporation of m-learning in education enables students to have a better awareness of team collaboration, creative thinking, critical thinking, problem-solving and communication [16], [19]. A study by Chang et al. [19] found that students had better self-awareness in team collaboration and creative thinking, although there was no significant difference between male and female students. The finding of the study also revealed that students who study in educational institutions where m-learning was used in more extended periods tend to have higher awareness than those where m-learning is used less.

M-learning has also been widely incorporated in vocational higher education classrooms. In an accounting classroom, Seow and Wong [20] developed a mobile game application called Accounting Challenge (ACE) to facilitate learning accounting. In particular, the application is aimed to encourage students to learn about accounting in an entertaining way outside the classroom. They found that ACE promoted flexible learning, and applications make learning accounting more enjoyable. In a study, Li, Lee, Wong, Yau, and Wong [21] examined the effect of using mobile apps to promote the motivation, social interaction and learning performance of 20 nursing students. The findings revealed that students had a high level of motivation in learning and accomplishments. However, a few students were observed to be less satisfied and had insufficient self-efficacy with the m-learning. From the comparison of two tests, the study found students performed better after practising m-learning. Cheung [22] explored the use of the smartphone and attitudes towards its use for m-learning in marketing and public relation subjects. He found that students' m-learning intentions were mainly affected by students' aspects (e.g. students' willingness and attitude towards smartphone for learning, students' technological competence to address academic matters), online interactions, features offered in the device, and sharing facilities.

Despite the plethora of m-learning in vocational higher education, some critical issues were found concerning its incorporation in teaching and learning practices, such as concerns about the readiness of college campuses to adopt m-learning [23], technological competence [24] and physical and social spaces for a learning environment [16]. The other challenges are technical, including low processing power and speed of the mobile devices, the small screen size that affects visibility and readability of the texts, limited storage, and short battery life [2].

2.2 Incorporating social media to support students' online learning in a higher education setting

Statistics have shown that the number of people accessing social media grows every year. In the Indonesian context, Mulyono and Gunawan [4] present data that reports about 95% of Indonesian internet users were users of social media in 2013 and the percentage had grown to 97.9% by 2018. Such growth also affects the number of teachers and students at university [9]. Literature has suggested that social media adoption has effects on students' learning. For example, social media provides more accessible information for students about learning activities [9]. The adoption of social media for teaching and learning also enables the teachers and students to share learning materials

at their ease [25]. Social media also offers more room for teachers' and students' interaction and communication and thus promotes engagement [26] and enables collaborative learning [9]–[11].

A body of literature has documented the incorporation of social media in the university classroom across Indonesian provinces. Susilo [27] examined the potential use of Facebook for an academic learning network in the context of the Indonesian open university. Salikin and Tahir [28] examined the use of social media to help improve students' writing of descriptive text. La Hansin, Risdiany, Utami and Sulisworo [29] investigated the incorporation of WhatsApp to facilitate collaborative writing in the English language learning classroom. Rehaded, Puspitasari, and Hidayati [30] investigated the effect of Whatsapp use on undergraduate students in a private university. Unfortunately, little has been explored regarding the adoption of smartphone and social media in the vocational higher education context. This study, therefore, aims to address this gap by evaluating vocational higher education students' technological acceptance and connectedness to the use of social media to promote m-learning; and the extent to which m-learning with smartphones and social media facilitate students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. **The current study contributes to the current literature of the unified theory of technology acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) and connected learning contextualized in Indonesian vocational higher education. More importantly, the study will demonstrate the potential use of mixed method approach in examining students' acceptance of smartphone for learning and their connectedness in the learning itself.**

3 Methodology

3.1 Study design

A mixed-methods approach was adopted to conduct the current study. Creswell [31] argues that mixed-methods provide an opportunity for researchers to collect both quantitative and qualitative data to allow them to address the research questions. In the current study, the quantitative approach design was aimed to address Research Question 1, examining students' technological acceptance and connectedness to the use of social media to promote m-learning. **A survey method was adopted in the quantitative design. According to Cohen, Manion, Morrison and Bell [32], survey provide an opportunity for researchers to collect quantitative data with time efficient. Moreover, they also argue that survey enables the researchers to target abundant number of study participants and generate quantitative data at their ease. In the current study, a research questionnaire was administered to a total of 221 students across education programmes in the polytechnic which 174 of whom completed it (see section 3.2).**

The qualitative research design was employed to address Research Question 2, evaluating the extent to which m-learning by smartphone facilitates students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. **Student written reflection method was employed in the qualitative research design to capture students' views on the incorpo-**

ration of smartphone to support their learning inside and outside the classroom. Zacharias [42] argues the use of students' reflection to a tool to explore the student participants' voices in the classroom, allowing researchers to recognise the discrepancy between what teachers expect from the students and what students have learned. To this end, the reflection-on-action (RoA) method was adopted in which six students were asked to write a reflection on what they had experienced during the incorporation of smartphone to support the learning activities.

3.2 Setting and the participants

The current study was conducted in the state polytechnic of Kreatif Media in Jakarta, Indonesia. The polytechnic was established in 2008 to sustain the Indonesian creative and cultural industry. It offers some education programmes with several concentrations including design, publishing, broadcasting, graphic design, game technology, packaging technology, and animation. There were twelve study programmes with lengths of study ranging from a one-year to a four-year diploma.

Using a non-probability sampling technique, a total of 221 students across education programmes in the polytechnic participated in the current study where they were asked to complete a technological acceptance questionnaire. A total of 221 students completed the questionnaire, but only 174 students mentioned that they used a smartphone and social media for m-learning (see Table 1). These 174 records were then used for further structural equation modelling (SEM) in the quantitative data analysis with SmartPLS software [33]. Six out of 174 students were then randomly invited to participate in an interview session.

3.3 Method for data collection and analysis

The data of the current study were gathered through surveys and students' writing reflection on their use of smartphones for m-learning during a course. An online survey questionnaire was developed to collect quantitative data from the students. The online development of the survey instrument was aimed at easing the distribution of the instrument, target wider participation and promote automatic data collection as well as tabulation [34], [35]. The questionnaire included three main sections: demographic information, the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) section and connected learning environment. The demographic information included gender and age. The UTAUT questionnaire section was adapted from some previous studies [36]–[39]. The section comprised 26 items with six constructs: Perceived usefulness or PU (4 items), perceived ease of use or PE (4 items), subjective norm or SN (3 items), perceived playfulness or PP (3 items), attitude or ATT (4 items) and acceptance or ACC (3 items). The connected learning section was adapted from Dwyer, Bingham, Carlson, Prisbell, Cruz, and Fus's [40] connected classroom climate (CCC) inventory comprising a single aspect with four items. All items in the survey questionnaire were measured on a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree (scored 5) to strongly disagree (scored 1).

After a one-month distribution period, the online questionnaire was halted with a total of 221 records. A spreadsheet was created from the Google form to allow the researcher to **perform data tabulation and screening** [34]. The spreadsheet was then downloaded and converted into an Excel file. The data were scored and tabulated. Out of 221, only 174 students mentioned that they used a smartphone and social media for m-learning (see Table 1). These 174 records were then used for further structural equation modelling (SEM) in the quantitative data analysis.

In addition to the survey, the qualitative data were gathered using the students' written reflection. Six of the 174 participants were selected randomly to write a reflection. Coulson and Harvey [41] perceive reflection as an alternative to promote students' learning and help improve their higher-order thinking through the experience. Reflection can also be used as a tool for teachers to explore the learners' voices in the classroom, identifying the discrepancy between what teachers expect from the students and what students have learned [42]. In the higher education context, engaging in learning reflection is critical in students' learning as it allows teachers and students to recognise both the strengths and weaknesses of the teaching itself [43]. The reflection-on-action (RoA) method was adopted to encourage students' reflection. By such a method, students were encouraged to write a reflection on what they had experienced at the end of their course [44], [45]. Eight reflective questions were given to the students to help them systematically express their views [16]. Students' reflections were collected and analyzed qualitatively using Rayford's [46] procedure.

4 Findings

4.1 Findings of the quantitative data analysis

Table 1 shows the demography of the participants and Figure 1 presents the data related to students' use of social media to promote m-learning. As shown in Figure 1, findings of the descriptive analysis from the quantitative data shows that WhatsApp was the most popular social media used by the students to promote m-learning (N=163), followed by YouTube (N=117), Instagram (N=108), Twitter (N=55) and Facebook (N=29). The popularity of WhatsApp, YouTube and Instagram might be due to students' need to publish their works (e.g. movies, animation and images) on social media without having a reduction on the image or video quality.

Table 1. Demography of the participants

Demography	N	Percentage
Gender		
Male	54	31.1
Female	120	68.9
Age		

< 20	100	57.5
20 – 25	74	42.5
26 – 30	0	0
30 <	0	0

Table 2 below presents the descriptive statistics among the variables.

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation for all variables

Variables	Number of items	M	SD
Perceived usefulness (PU)	4	3.53	0.77
Perceived ease of use (PE)	4	3.19	0.79
Subjective norm (SN)	3	3.54	0.66
Perceived playfulness (PP)	3	3.48	0.76
Connected learning (CL)	4	3.24	0.73
Attitude (ATT)	4	3.03	0.76
Acceptance (ACC)	3	3.00	0.84

Table 2 above presents the mean and standard deviation of the research variables. The variables ‘Subjective Norm’ and ‘Perceived usefulness’ are shown to have the highest mean of 3.54 and 3.53, with a standard deviation of 0.66 and 0.77, respectively. In contrast, students’ acceptance of and attitude towards social media for m-learning are observed to be the lowest mean of, respectively, 3.00 and 3.03 with a standard deviation of 0.84 and 0.76.

The instrument validity and reliability were examined by three primary indicators, including factor loadings, composite reliability coefficients, and the average variance extracted (AVE) [36], [37], [47]. All factor loadings were observed as higher than 0.70.

Table 3. Reliability and validity analysis

	Reliability		AVE	Discriminant validity							
	CR	α		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	0.92	0.87	0.79	0.89							
2	0.91	0.86	0.71	0.75	0.84						
3	0.87	0.81	0.63	0.68	0.71	0.79					
4	0.88	0.81	0.64	0.62	0.75	0.67	0.80				
5	0.88	0.79	0.70	0.65	0.73	0.72	0.66	0.84			
6	0.89	0.84	0.68	0.67	0.68	0.74	0.76	0.73	0.82		
7	0.87	0.77	0.69	0.56	0.54	0.52	0.57	0.54	0.63	0.83	

Note. 1 = acceptance; 2 = attitude; 3 = connected learning; 4 = perceived ease of use; 5 = perceived playfulness; 6 = perceived usefulness; and 7 = Subjective norm.

In Table 3 above, the coefficient of CR ranged from 0.87 to 0.92 while AVE was between 0.63 and 0.79. Such findings showed that all the values were above the recommended CR threshold of 0.60 and AVE threshold of 0.50, indicating the acceptable convergent reliability of all factors. Furthermore, the square root AVE of each factor was observed to be higher than its correlation with any other factors in the current study. The finding indicates that the discriminant validity of the constructs was satisfactory. Also, all alpha values were observed as greater than 0.70, indicating that the reliability of the constructs was also satisfactory. **Although the statistical calculation of the six constructs of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) section and connected learning environment has suggested validity of the instruments, several conditions could be found to contribute to the UTAUT and connected learning e.g. hardware reliability, internet speed and reliability (see Papadakis, Vaiopoulou, Kalogiannakis, and Stamovlasis [6]). While the current study only involved some cohorts of students from one vocational higher education, the findings cannot present the views of the Indonesian higher education students.**

To address Research Question 1, a quantitative analysis using the Structural Equation Model analysis was performed to evaluate students' acceptance of the use of smartphones and social media to promote m-learning.

Table 4. Path analysis and t-value

	Path coefficients	t-value
Attitude towards social media		
Acceptance of using social media for m-learning	0.54	7.93*
Connected learning		
Acceptance of using social media for m-learning	0.29	3.98*
Attitude towards social media	0.25	2.62*
Perceived ease of use		
Attitude towards social media	0.40	4.51*
Perceived playfulness		
Attitude towards social media	0.29	3.81*
Perceived usefulness		
Attitude towards social media	-0.06	0.62
Subjective norm		
Attitude towards social media	0.07	1.01

Note. * $p < .01$

As shown in Table 4, students' attitude towards social media ($\beta = 0.54, p < .01$) and their feeling of connectedness to learning ($\beta = 0.29, p < .01$) significantly influenced their acceptance of using social media for m-learning. Moreover, students' attitude towards social media for m-learning was statistically affected by their connectedness to learning ($\beta = 0.25, p < .01$), perceived ease of use ($\beta = 0.40, p < .01$), and perceived playfulness ($\beta = 0.29, p < .01$). The dimension of students' perceived usefulness ($\beta =$

0.06, $p > .01$) and their subjective norm ($\beta = 0.07$, $p > .01$) were not significantly associated to their attitude towards social media for m-learning.

4.2 Findings of the qualitative data analysis

Research Question 2 attempted to evaluate the extent to which m-learning with smartphones and social media facilitates students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. Findings from students' reflection showed that students utilised some smartphone applications to facilitate their mobile learning, such as a social media application (e.g. WhatsApp), word processing application (e.g. Memo) and some multimedia design application (e.g. PiscArt, Photoshop, and Lightroom). Also, the analysis of students' reflection has suggested that students' use of mobile learning using smartphones increased their learning motivation. Students wrote in their reflection that using a smartphone for mobile learning was easy and time-efficient. Students were observed to study and work on their project anywhere and anytime. Besides, the incorporation of the smartphone for mobile learning had eased students' communication and interaction which thus developed their motivation to learn. Respondent Rudi acknowledged such ease of communication and interaction with his teachers and friends. Similarly, Mirna said:

“(The)smartphone helps me to interact with my teacher, who may not be around in the campus. I can contact him and ask for feedback regarding my assignment off-campus.”

In addition to learning motivation, the analysis of students' reflection found that students used smartphone applications that promoted mutual social interaction and thus improved their learning activities. Students were observed to search some learning resources, to share information and materials about the learning, and smartphone use enabled discussion, peer-assessment and feedback. Mirna, for example, used her smartphone to search for other references related to her book design project from the internet after receiving feedback from her teacher. Anton also mentioned his use of his smartphone for finding learning resources and showed two captures as below:




Fig. 1. Screen captures of Anton’s use of his smartphone for finding learning resources

Another student, Rudi, mentioned that he used a word processing application installed on his smartphone to write and edit a draft of his project. He also said he used the device to send his early draft to his teachers and peers to obtain feedback. Anton also mentioned that the smartphone had eased him into the habit of sending and receiving learning materials. Also, the finding of the current study indicated the advanced use of smartphones for small-scale design and editing purposes. One student, Andy, said that he used mobile design applications such as PiscArt, Photoshop and Lightroom to help him work with some small-scale designs.

Despite the benefits that the smartphone and social media had offered to the students, some critical issues were highlighted in such a practice, including limited access to the internet, limited features offered on the smartphone and limited space for interaction and explanation. One of the students, Rudi, said that his limited internet access at home and on campus had constrained his opportunity to communicate and interact. Some students also mentioned that they were not able to install some sophisticated application for their project due to limited storage on the phones. Also, students felt that the teacher’s explanation provided via WhatsApp was hard to comprehend due to the limited space given in the apps. Accordingly, students were observed to be more comfortable in face-to-face interaction with their teachers than using a smartphone. Mirna confirmed that her teachers’ digital explanations were frequently limited, which were difficult for her to understand. She mentioned her preference to meet and consult on her work with her teacher directly rather than using a smartphone.

5 Discussion

The current study aimed to evaluate students' acceptance of using social media and the extent to which the smartphone and social media might promote m-learning situated in a vocational higher education setting. Findings from the quantitative data analysis suggest that students' acceptance of incorporating social media to promote their m-learning was statistically influenced by their attitude and connectedness to the application. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. This finding corresponds with the earlier study by Dhume, Pattanshetti, Kamble, and Prasad [48] and Teo, Lee, Chai, and Wong [49], suggesting that students' acceptance of technology use is associated with students' attitude and intention to use social media for learning. In other words, students' attitude plays a key role in understanding their acceptance of using technology for educational purposes.

One of the interesting findings suggests that the acceptance of social media for m-learning is particularly associated with students' connectedness to learning rather than perceived usefulness of such an application which confirms the earlier study by Lai, Wang, and Lei [50]. Lai, Wang and Lei found that the variable of perceived usefulness of technology played little role in predicting students' actual use of technology for learning. The role of teacher authority to promote the use of smartphones and social media might be the driver for students' high level of connectedness to the smartphone and social media. In the reflections, students acknowledged that their teachers' instruction drove their use of smartphone applications. One of the students mentioned that she was not allowed to submit her work unless she obtained feedback and approval from her teacher, and the feedback and approval were mainly given through WhatsApp. These findings were also reported in some earlier study. A study by Solihat and Mulyono [1] has suggested the incorporation of smartphones in classroom instruction and online testing. Sung and Mayer [51] suggest that smartphone technology is a convenient tool that improves students' motivation and engagement in their learning. A study by Alzubi and Singh [52] that evaluated English as a foreign language (EFL) undergraduate students' smartphone features and applications to advance their reading skills also found that students were assured, and profoundly motivated through self-regulating their mobile learning. Moreover, a study by Li et al. (2018) revealed that the incorporation of the smartphone to facilitate mobile learning helped develop students' motivation to learn. However, they still encountered inadequate self-efficacy and were noticed to only feel lightly satisfied with the application.

It is important to argue that students' connectedness to the learning through the incorporation of smartphones and social media was mainly due to their strong motivation to participate actively in learning, to stay connected with information during the learning activity and to develop the communication between students and their teachers and between students themselves. As shown in the reflection, the smartphone had motivated the students to share information and materials about the learning and enabled peer-assessment and feedback. These activities were potential for further collaborative activities amongst the students [26]. So [54] argues that this would facilitate students' learning and improve their learning involvement. Particularly, Yanti and Mulyono [55]

suggest that smartphone may facilitate project-based learning by optimising the video and editing features available in the phone.

Besides, the communication and interaction that occurred between the students and between students and their teachers have shown the primary benefits of mobile learning with a smartphone. Such findings were in line with what Alrasheedi, Capretz, and Raza [56] has suggested that mobile learning with a smartphone enables students to monitor their learning activities by themselves at any time and in any place where they are willing to learn. Moreover, Hamidi and Chavoshi [57] believe that such communication and interaction would improve over time as they could ask each other without the limitation of place and time. Students were enabled to review and evaluate a task or simply ask for feedback from their teachers or peers. Such benefits, accordingly, would benefit students' learning activities. More importantly, the communication and interaction created in the mobile learning environment with smartphone use as shown in the findings of the current study is believed to support students' learning, promote students' self-paced learning and enable social interaction between the teacher, students, and their peers [21]. Such conditions have indicated the incorporation of smartphone in students' learning has created an authentic learning environment [4], [55], [58] and accordingly may improve their learning performances. Although, there is unclear from the findings if students' active communication as well as interactions were enabled from teachers' quality instructions.

6 Conclusion

To conclude, mobile learning with the smartphone brings new knowledge to the university students and makes mobile learning possible. Findings of the current study have revealed that students' attitude and connectedness to the smartphone and social media play prominent roles in determining their acceptance of smartphones and social media for m-learning. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. Findings also showed that students' mobile learning using a smartphone helped develop their learning motivation, facilitated learning activities and enabled mutual interaction between the students, and between teachers and students. Students also benefited from the use of smartphones in that they could share information and materials about the learning, enabled peer-assessment and feedback. These activities were potential for further collaborative activities amongst the students [26]. So [54] argues that this would facilitate students' learning and improve their learning involvement.

Although students were shown to use some design applications on the phone, their activity was still limited to small-scale design and editing. Two critical issues identified were the limited features offered in the smartphone and limited space for interaction and explanation. Students' preference for teacher-student face-to-face interaction emphasised the prominent role of teachers' presence in the classroom. It is, therefore, argued that further instructional practices should consider these critical issues and prepare a more flexible design that allows more interaction as well as discussion between teachers and students.

It is also important to acknowledge the limitations of the current study. First, the current study was contextualised in a state vocational higher education setting in Indonesia and the selection of the sample followed a non-probability sampling method. Therefore, the findings of the study cannot be generalised to capture the view of all vocational higher education students. Second, using the qualitative data which were gathered using written reflection had constrained the researchers to explore more information from the participants. Further research on similar topics should, therefore, address these limitations.

7 References

- [1] N. Solihati and H. Mulyono, 'Designing and Evaluating the Use of Smartphones to Facilitate Online Testing in Second-Language Teacher Education (SLTE): An Auto-Ethnographic Study', *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 124–137, 2018.
- [2] Y. J. Joo, N. Kim, and N. H. Kim, 'Factors predicting online university students' use of a mobile learning management system (m-LMS)', *Educ. Technol. Res. Dev.*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 611–630, 2016.
- [3] A. Hikmat and H. Mulyono, 'Smartphone use and multitasking behaviour in a teacher education program (TEP)', *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 4–14, 2018.
- [4] H. Mulyono and G. Suryoputro, 'The use of social media platform to promote authentic learning environment in higher education setting', *Sci. Educ. Today*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 105–123, 2020.
- [5] S. N. Şad and Ö. Göktaş, 'Preservice teachers' perceptions about using mobile phones and laptops in education as mobile learning tools', *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 606–618, 2014.
- [6] S. Papadakis, J. Vaiopoulou, M. Kalogiannakis, and D. Stamovlasis, 'Developing and exploring an evaluation tool for educational apps (ETEA) targeting kindergarten children', *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 4201, 2020.
- [7] J. Gikas and M. M. Grant, 'Mobile computing devices in higher education: Student perspectives on learning with cellphones, smartphones & social media', *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 19, pp. 18–26, 2013.
- [8] J. E. Rodriguez, 'Social media use in higher education: Key areas to consider for educators', *MERLOT J. Online Learn. Teach.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 539–550, 2011.
- [9] R. Chugh and U. Ruhi, 'Social media in higher education: A literature review of Facebook', *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 605–616, 2018.
- [10] C. Irwin, L. Ball, B. Desbrow, and M. Leveritt, 'Students' perceptions of using Facebook as an interactive learning resource at university', *Australas. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 7, 2012.
- [11] M. Makoe, 'Exploring the use of MXit: a cell-phone social network to facilitate learning in distance education', *Open Learn. J. Open, Distance e-Learning*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 251–257, 2010.
- [12] V. Bozalek *et al.*, 'The use of emerging technologies for authentic learning: An African study in higher education', *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 629–638,

- 2013.
- [13] A. E. E. Sobaih, M. A. Moustafa, P. Ghandforoush, and M. Khan, 'To use or not to use? Social media in higher education in developing countries', *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 58, pp. 296–305, 2016.
- [14] E. Dahlstrom, 'ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology', 2012.
- [15] S. Papadakis, M. Kalogiannakis, E. Sifaki, and N. Vidakis, 'Evaluating Moodle use via Smart Mobile Phones. A case study in a Greek University', *EAI Endorsed Trans. Creat. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 16, 2018.
- [16] M. Al-Ismaail, M. Yamin, Y. Liu, and T. Gedeon, 'Learners characteristics of m-learning preferences', *Int. j. inf. tecnol.*, pp. 1–13, 2019.
- [17] L. Pedro, C. Barbosa, and C. Santos, 'A critical review of mobile learning integration in formal educational contexts', *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1–15, 2018.
- [18] S. Papadakis, 'Evaluating pre-service teachers' acceptance of mobile devices with regards to their age and gender: a case study in Greece.', *Int. J. Mob. Learn. Organ.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 336–352, 2018.
- [19] W. Chang, Y. Liu, and T. Huang, 'Perceptions of learning effectiveness in m-learning: Scale development and student awareness', *J. Comput. Assist. Learn.*, pp. 1–12, 2017.
- [20] P. S. Seow and S. P. Wong, 'Using a mobile gaming app to enhance accounting education', *J. Educ. Bus.*, vol. 91, no. 8, pp. 434–439, 2016.
- [21] K. C. Li, L. Y. K. Lee, S. L. Wong, I. S. Y. Yau, and B. T. M. Wong, 'Effects of mobile apps for nursing students: learning motivation, social interaction and study performance', *Open Learn.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 99–114, 2018.
- [22] R. Cheung, 'Predicting user intentions for mobile learning in a project-based environment', *Int. J. Electron. Commer. Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 263–280, 2014.
- [23] J. Cheon, S. Lee, S. M. Crooks, and J. Song, 'An investigation of mobile learning readiness in higher education based on the theory of planned behavior', *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1054–1064, 2012.
- [24] K. Mac Callum and L. Jeffrey, 'The influence of students' ICT skills and their adoption of mobile learning', *Australas. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 29, no. 3, 2013.
- [25] G. Siemens and M. Weller, 'Higher education and the promises and perils of social network', *Rev. Univ. y Soc. del Conoc.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 164–170, 2011.
- [26] M. K. Kabilan, N. Ahmad, and M. J. Z. Abidin, 'Facebook: An online environment for learning of English in institutions of higher education?', *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 179–187, 2010.
- [27] A. Susilo, 'Use of Facebook for academic network learning in Universitas Terbuka-Indonesia', *Asian Assoc. Open Univ. J.*, 2008.
- [28] H. Salikin and S. Z. Bin Tahir, 'The social media-based approach in teaching writing at Jember University, Indonesia', *Int. J. English Linguist.*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 46, 2017.
- [29] A. La Hanisi, R. Risdiany, Y. Dwi Utami, and D. Sulisworo, 'The use of WhatsApp in collaborative learning to improve English teaching and learning process', *Int. J. Res. Stud. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 29–35, 2018.
- [30] U. Rahaded, E. Puspitasari, and D. Hidayati, 'The Impact of Whatsapp Toward UAD Undergraduate Students' Behavior In Learning Process', *Int. J. Educ. Manag. Innov.*,

- vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 55–68, 2020.
- [31] J. W. Creswell, *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*, 4th ed. Pearson, 2012.
- [32] L. Cohen, L. Manion, K. Morrison, and R. Bell, *Research methods in education*, 8th ed. London: Routledge, 2018.
- [33] C. M. Ringle, S. Wende, and J.-M. Becker, ‘SmartPLS 3’. Boenningstedt: SmartPLS GmbH, <http://www.smartpls.com>, 2015.
- [34] S. K. Ningsih, S. Narahara, and H. Mulyono, ‘An exploration of factors contributing to students’ unwillingness to communicate in a foreign language across Indonesian secondary schools.’, *Int. J. Instr.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 811–824, 2018.
- [35] S. Zulaiha and H. Mulyono, ‘Exploring junior high school EFL teachers’ training needs of assessment literacy’, *Cogent Educ.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 1772943, Jan. 2020.
- [36] A. Lawson-Body, L. Willoughby, L. Lawson-Body, and E. M. Tamandja, ‘Students’ acceptance of E-books: An application of UTAUT’, *J. Comput. Inf. Syst.*, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- [37] H. H. Yang, L. Feng, and J. MacLeod, ‘Understanding college students’ acceptance of cloud classrooms in flipped instruction: integrating UTAUT and connected classroom climate’, *J. Educ. Comput. Res.*, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 1258–1276, 2019.
- [38] V. Venkatesh, M. G. Morris, G. B. Davis, and F. D. Davis, ‘User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view’, *MIS Q.*, pp. 425–478, 2003.
- [39] D. Z. Dumpit and C. J. Fernandez, ‘Analysis of the use of social media in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) using the Technology Acceptance Model’, *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 5, 2017.
- [40] K. K. Dwyer, S. G. Bingham, R. E. Carlson, M. Prisbell, A. M. Cruz, and D. A. Fus, ‘Communication and connectedness in the classroom: Development of the connected classroom climate inventory’, *Commun. Res. Reports*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 264–272, 2004.
- [41] D. Coulson and M. Harvey, ‘Scaffolding student reflection for experience-based learning: A framework’, *Teach. High. Educ.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 401–413, 2013.
- [42] N. Zacharias, ‘Prompting second language writers for productive reflection using narrative questioning prompt’, *Indones. JELT*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 115–133, 2019.
- [43] S. Quinton and T. Smallbone, ‘Feeding forward: using feedback to promote student reflection and learning—a teaching model’, *Innov. Educ. Teach. Int.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 125–135, 2010.
- [44] T. S. C. Farrell, *Reflective language teaching: From research to practice*. London, New York: Continuum, 2007.
- [45] N. Solihati and H. Mulyono, ‘A Hybrid classroom instruction in second language teacher education (SLTE): A critical reflection of teacher educators’, *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 169–180, 2017.
- [46] C. R. Rayford, ‘Reflective practice: The teacher in the mirror’, Graduate College University of Nevada, Las Vegas, 2010.
- [47] J. F. Hair Jr, G. T. M. Hult, C. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage publications, 2017.
- [48] S. M. Dhume, M. Y. Pattanshetti, S. S. Kamble, and T. Prasad, ‘Adoption of social media by business education students: Application of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)’, in *2012 IEEE International Conference on Technology Enhanced Education*

- (ICTEE), 2012, pp. 1–10.
- [49] T. Teo, C. B. Lee, C. S. Chai, and S. L. Wong, ‘Assessing the intention to use technology among pre-service teachers in Singapore and Malaysia: A multigroup invariance analysis of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)’, *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 1000–1009, 2009.
- [50] C. Lai, Q. Wang, and J. Lei, ‘What factors predict undergraduate students’ use of technology for learning? A case from Hong Kong’, *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 569–579, 2012.
- [51] E. Sung and R. Mayer, ‘Online multimedia learning with mobile devices and desktop computers: An experimental test of Clark’s methods-not-media hypothesis’, *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 29, pp. 639–647, 2013.
- [52] A. Alzubi and M. Singh, ‘The impact of social strategies through smartphones on the Saudi learners’ socio-cultural autonomy in EFL reading context’, *Int. Electron. J. Elem. Educ.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 31–40, 2018.
- [53] I. S. H. Wai, S. S. Y. Ng, D. K. W. Chiu, K. K. W. Ho, and P. Lo, ‘Exploring undergraduate students’ usage pattern of mobile apps for education’, *J. Librariansh. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 34–47, 2018.
- [54] S. So, ‘Mobile instant messaging support for teaching and learning in higher education’, *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 31, pp. 32–42, 2016.
- [55] P. Yanti and H. Mulyono, ‘Incorporating a Smartphone Video in a Theatrical Activity to Promote an Authentic Language Learning Environment in a Lower Secondary School Classroom’, 2020.
- [56] M. Alrasheedi, L. F. Capretz, and A. Raza, ‘A systematic review of the critical factors for success of mobile learning in higher education (university students’ perspective)’, *J. Educ. Comput. Res.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 257–276, 2015.
- [57] H. Hamidi and A. Chavoshi, ‘Analysis of the essential factors for the adoption of mobile learning in higher education: A case study of students of the University of Technology’, *Telemat. Informatics*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1053–1070, 2018.
- [58] A. Herrington and J. Herrington, ‘What is an authentic learning environment?’, in *Authentic learning environments in higher education*, A. Herrington and J. Herrington, Eds. Information Science Publishing, 2008, pp. 68–77.

8 Authors

Purnomo Ananto is an associate professor and currently the director of State Polytechnic of Kreatif Media, Jakarta, Indonesia. His research interests include education administration and management and the use of technology for teaching and learning in vocational higher education.

Sri Kusuma Ningsih is a lecturer at University of Muhammdiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA, Jakarta, Indonesia. She obtained her Master’s at the same university and is currently pursuing her doctoral study at Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia. Her research mainly focuses on the use of technology for teachers’ professional development.

Short Paper—Paper Formatting for online-journals.org



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM] Editor Decision

1 message

Michael E. Auer <auer@cti-online.net>
Reply-To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Tue, Aug 11, 2020 at 1:46 PM

Dear author(s),

I acknowledge the receipt of your revised paper. I already sent your revised manuscript in the initial pool of reviewers and i hope to have their final decision within a week.

After that I will evaluate the reviews and i will make the final decision on your manuscript.

Kind regards,

Section editor
Dr. Papadakis Stamatios
School of Education, Departmnet of Preschool Education, University of Crete,
Greece

International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies
<http://www.i-jim.org>



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM] Password Reset Confirmation

2 messages

Michael E. Auer <auer@cti-online.net>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:21 PM

Reply-To: Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-engineering.org>

To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

We have received a request to reset your password for the Online-Journals.org web site.

If you did not make this request, please ignore this email and your password will not be changed. If you wish to reset your password, click on the below URL.

Reset my password:

<https://www.online-journals.org/index.php/i-jim/login/resetPassword/purnomoananto?confirm=338936>

Michael E. Auer

International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies

<http://www.i-jim.org>

Michael E. Auer <auer@cti-online.net>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:24 PM

Reply-To: Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-engineering.org>

To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM] Editor Decision

9 messages

Michael E. Auer <auer@cti-online.net>
Reply-To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Sun, Aug 16, 2020 at 10:26 PM

Purnomo Ananto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), "Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting".

Our decision is to: paper accepted.

Please format your paper correctly following the journal guidelines i forward in this email.

* Please include if possible up to three references to papers from this journal or other journals from <http://www.online-journals.org> as you agreed in the first step of the submission process.

* As we appreciate your high level of experience, we welcome you considering the option to join in this journal reviewer team.

With regards,

Stamatios Papadakis
School of Education, University of Crete, Greece
stpapadakis@gmail.com

International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies
<http://www.i-jim.org>

 **iJXX_authorguide.docx**
36K

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Mon, Aug 17, 2020 at 8:59 AM

Dear Prof. Papadakis

Thank you for your email. We are so happy with the decision and will send you the final version with the correct format in the next two three days.

Best regards,

Dr. Purnomo
[Quoted text hidden]

Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Mon, Aug 17, 2020 at 12:49 PM

Thank you for your response.
Please remember:

1. Please format your paper correctly following the journal guidelines i

2. * Please include if possible up to three references to papers from this journal or other journals from <http://www.online-journals.org> as you agreed in the first step of the submission process.
3. * As we appreciate your high level of experience, we welcome you considering the option to join in this journal reviewer team.

With regards,

--Stamatios Papadakis, PhD--
Postdoctoral Fellow/Instructor
Faculty of Education | Department of Preschool Education
The University of Crete, Greece
-EU Code Week Ambassador Greece
-EU Robotics National Coordinator Greece
-eTwinning National Ambassador Greece
Online identities:
ResearchGate: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Stamatios_Papadakis
Google scholar: <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=e3vLZegAAAAJ&hl=el&oi=ao>
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3184-1147>



 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail !

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:59 PM

Dear Prof. Papadakis

We have formatted the article as requested. We used the template you have given us earlier to format the article. Please find the attachment of our formatted article.

We also appreciate it if you could send us an invoice from APC so we can proceed with the payment.

Best Regards,

Dr Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]



18AUG2020_REV 3_Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting.docx
278K


Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 1:45 PM

Dear author, I formatted your paper correctly.
Please check if you agree and then upload your paper in the journal submission system.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

 **18AUG2020_REV 3_Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting.docx**
278K

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:30 PM

Dear Prof. Papadakis

Thank you for your kind help formatting our article. I have checked it and agree with the version. I also have uploaded the article in the journal website.
Please, let me know if there are other things I have to do.

Best wishes,

Dr. Purnomo
[Quoted text hidden]

Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:43 PM

Have you included if possible up to three references to papers from this journal or other journals from <http://www.online-journals.org> as you agreed in the first step of the submission process.

[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:57 PM

Yes, Sir. We have two from IJET and two from IJIM

[1] N. Solihati and H. Mulyono, 'Designing and Evaluating the Use of Smartphones to Facilitate Online Testing in Second-Language Teacher Education (SLTE): An Auto-Ethnographic Study', *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 124–137, 2018.

[3] A. Hikmat and H. Mulyono, 'Smartphone use and multitasking behaviour in a teacher education program (TEP)', *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 4–14, 2018.

[45] N. Solihati and H. Mulyono, 'A Hybrid classroom instruction in second language teacher education (SLTE): A critical reflection of teacher educators', *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 169–180, 2017.

[55] P. Yanti and H. Mulyono, 'Incorporating a Smartphone Video in a Theatrical Activity to Promote an Authentic Language Learning Environment in a Lower Secondary School Classroom', *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 141–151, 2020.

Best regards,

Dr. Purnomo
[Quoted text hidden]

Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:58 PM

Thank you!
I have already accepted your paper.
Thank you for your cooperation during the whole process.

With best regards,
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM] Editor Decision

4 messages

Michael E. Auer <auer@cti-online.net>
Reply-To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:52 PM

Purnomo Ananto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), "Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting".

Our decision is to: submission accepted.

Your final paper is exactly formatted as requested in the "Author Guidelines" in the "About" of the journal, with author's name(s), institution(s) and short bio(s) in MS Word format (doc, docx, rtf) so no further actions are required.

You have also added up to three references to papers from this journal or other journals from <http://www.online-journals.org> as you agreed in the first step of the submission process.

According the journal guidelines: short papers have up to 8 pages, papers 12-16 pages.

You will receive an invoice for the APC by separate email.

For additional pages an extra fee has to be paid.

Please note that we charge you with EUR 20 per additional page additional to the standard APC.

Short papers can have max. one add. page.

* As we appreciate your high level of experience, we welcome you considering the option to join in this journal reviewer team.

With best regards,

Dr. Stamatios Papadakis
School of Education, Department of Preschool Education, University of Crete,
Greece
stpapadakis@gmail.com

International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies
<http://www.i-jim.org>

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Sun, Aug 23, 2020 at 10:37 PM

Dear Prof. Dr. Stamatios Papadakis

Thank you for the email. However, I have not received another email concerning the APC that we should pay. Would you kindly send us an invoice regarding the APC.

Best regards,

Dr Purnomo
[Quoted text hidden]

Mon, Aug 24, 2020 at 4:39 AM

Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Hello.
I already forwarded your email.

With regards,

--Stamatios Papadakis, PhD--
Postdoctoral Fellow/Instructor
Faculty of Education | Department of Preschool Education
The University of Crete, Greece
-EU Code Week Ambassador Greece
-EU Robotics National Coordinator Greece
-eTwinning National Ambassador Greece
Online identities:
ResearchGate: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Stamatios_Papadakis
Google scholar: <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=e3vLZegAAAAJ&hl=el&oi=ao>
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3184-1147>



 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail !

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Stamatis Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>
Cc: Sri Kusuma Ningsih <sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id>

Wed, Sep 9, 2020 at 12:03 PM

Dear Prof. Dr. Stamatios Papadakis

To date, we have not received the APC (invoice) of our article publication. Would you kindly help me to inform the administration about the APC that I have to pay. It has been more than two weeks since our last email.

I really appreciate your assistance in this issue.

Best regards,

Dr Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]

Paper Formatting for online-journals.org

Things You Need to Know for the Preparation of Your Paper for One of Our Journals

<https://doi.org/10.3991/ijxx.vx.ix.xxxx>

First Author^(✉)

International Association of Online Engineering, Vienna, Austria
example@example.org

Second Author

Carinthia University of Applied Sciences, Villach, Austria

Write here only the main institutions of the authors, their city and country. Faculty, Department, Laboratory, postcode... go into the bio statement at the end of your paper.

Give only the names of the authors, university grades, titles... go into the bio statement at the end of your paper.

Give the email address of the corresponding author only. If you want to make available the contacts of the other authors, please do so in the bio statement at the end of your paper.

You may group authors affiliated to the same institution in one line.

Abstract—This document gives you an overview about how to prepare your article for publication in one of the scholarly online journals that are published at <http://online-journals.org>. After having read this text, you will understand which styles should be used and how to apply them. Abstract should have approximately 10 to 20 lines. **CRITICAL: Do Not Use Symbols, Special Characters, References, or Math in Paper Title or Abstract.*

Keywords—paper publishing, online journals, styles, how-to

1 How to work with this template

1.1 Applying the styles to an existing paper

Open the document you would like to format and import the styles. How this works depends very much on the version of MS WORD that you use. The styles' names to be used for online-journals.org are preceded by a "0_" which makes them appear first in the styles list and therefore easier to be found.

Now just place the cursor in the paragraph you would like to format and click on the corresponding style in the styles window (or ribbon).

1.2 Writing a new document with this template

You may also simply delete all the text in this document, paste yours and format it with the styles.

2 The styles

Most of the styles are intuitive. However, we invite you to read carefully the brief description below.

2.1 Document title and meta-data

Use *papertitle* to format the title of your paper, and *subtitle* if you need a subtitle. Write the authors one under another, each one followed by his/her affiliation and e-mail address. Use the styles *author*, *affiliation*, and *e-mail*.

Continue by abstract and keywords; use the styles *abstract* and *keywords*.

2.2 Document content

Heading1 and Heading2 are numbered (sub)section headings. Write them, place the cursor in it and click the style.

Heading3 and Heading4 are so-called run-in headings which means that they are not extra paragraphs but they are placed in the same paragraph as the text that follows – like in this paragraph and the one before. Basically, *heading3* is a simple bold and *heading4* a simple italic formatting. So you may equally use the basic formatting functions of WORD.

Never mix up heading levels. A heading1 should not be followed by a heading3.

Body Text is used for normal reading text like this one. You may use the *Normal* style, it is the same, but harder to find as it's much more down in the styles list.

Lists may be inserted too; for this you have the styles *numitem*, *bulletitem*, and *dashitem*. Several list levels are available by using the *Decrease* or *Increase Indent* buttons of WORD.

Do not try to structure your paper by lists, do not misuse list-items as headings. A list item (a bullet, a dash) contains maximum one paragraph. If there is more than one paragraph in one list item then it's most likely a sub-section. Consider using a run-in heading level 3 or 4.

Equations may be inserted:

1. Make a new paragraph

2. Press TAB
3. Insert the equation
4. Press TAB
5. Write the equation number
6. Apply *equation* style

$$(x + a)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k a^{n-k} \quad (1)$$

$$A = \pi r^2 \quad (2)$$

Images/figures can be inserted as you usually do. Apply the style *figure* to the figure, and *figurecaption* to the figure caption ☺. Depending on the WORD version you use, you can either select the figure and apply the style or you have to apply the style to the empty paragraph before inserting the image file.



Fig. 1. The header image of online-journals.org

In your text, please refer to the figure number, not to its position. Write “see Figure 2” instead of “see figure below/above”. Figures may be re-positioned during the editorial process and references to a figure’s position may no longer make sense.

Please verify the figure numbers and their references in the text before submitting your article for review.

Table captions are formatted using the *tablecaption* style.

Table 1. Example table

Item1	Item2	Item3	Item4	Item5
Test1	.001	.004	.341	.01
Test2	4.5	3.4	12	21
Test3	28	30	41	65

Likewise to figures, please refer to the table number, not to its position. Write “see Table 2” instead of “see table below/above”, and please verify the table numbers and their references in the text before submitting your article for review.

Program or markup code is formatted by the *programcode* style. Use the TAB key to indent lines. Example:

```
<dataset>
  <name>
    <first_name></first_name>
```

```
<middle_name></middle_name>  
<last_name></last_name>  
</name>  
</dataset>
```

2.3 References

In your text, number citations consecutively in square brackets [1]. You may refer to them like “as stated in [3]” or “as stated in Ref. [3]”. A list of all cited references is placed at the end of your document, that is, in a list that is formatted and numbered automatically by applying the *referenceitem* style.

3 Acknowledgment

You may mention here granted financial support or acknowledge the help you got from others during your research work.

4 References

The following references are real ones, but have not been cited in this document. They have been pasted here for demonstration purposes.

- [1] Budiman, R. (2013). Utilizing Skype for providing learning support for Indonesian distance learning students: A lesson learnt. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 83: 5-10
- [2] Chandrasena Premawardhena, N., ICT in the foreign language classroom in Sri Lanka: A journey through a decade. 10th World Conference on Computers in Education (WCCE 2013), Nicolaus Copernicus University, July 2-5 2013, Torun, Poland, pp 223-224
- [3] Chandrasena. Premawardhena, N. (2012). Introducing Computer Aided Language Learning to Sri Lankan Schools: Challenges and Perspectives. 15th International Conference on Interactive Collaborative Learning and 41st International Conference on Engineering Pedagogy (ICL & IGIP), Villach, Austria.

5 Authors

In the authors below the paper title we will leave only the authors’ names and their main institutions (e.g. the University) – faculty, department, laboratory, address, post-code etc go here. You may also add a short bio statement.

First Author is member of the International Association of Online Engineering (IAOE), Kirchengasse 10/200, 1070 Wien, Austria. He often works as Publication Chair for international conferences (ICL, REV, EDUCON, IMCL, ICBL) and is Art Director, Webmaster, and technical support for online-journals.org.

Short Paper—Paper Formatting for online-journals.org

Second Author is a German computer scientist, engineering educator, and Vice Chancellor at Carinthia University of Applied Sciences (CUAS), Europastrasse 4, in Villach, Austria. He works also as a visiting professor at the Universities of Amman (Jordan), Braşov, (Romania) and Patras, (Greece). He is the Editor-in-Chief of the journals iJOE, iJET, and iJIM at online-journals.org.

Article submitted 16 October 2017. Published as resubmitted by the authors 29 November 2017.

Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting

Purnomo Ananto^(✉)

State Polytechnic of Kreatif Media, Jakarta, Indonesia
purnomo.ananto@polimedia.ac.id

Sri Kusuma Ningsih

University of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA, Jakarta, Indonesia
sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id

Abstract—This brief article reports on an exploration of students' adoption of smartphone technology and social media to promote m-learning in the context of a state polytechnic classroom. To this end, a mixed-methods approach was employed. A quantitative survey involved 221 students across education programmes in an Indonesian vocational higher education, and six of them were asked to write a reflection after a course. Findings of the current study have revealed that students' attitude and connectedness to the smartphone and social media play prominent roles in determining their acceptance of smartphones and social media for m-learning. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. Findings of the study also revealed that students' mobile learning using the smartphone helped develop their learning motivation, facilitated learning activities and enabled interaction amongst the students, and between teachers and students. Students also benefited from the use of the smartphone by which means they could share information and materials about the learning, enabled peer-assessment and feedback. Two critical issues that were found included limited smartphone features and less space for interaction and explanation.

Keywords—Smartphone, mobile learning, polytechnic, learning motivation, interaction, learning performance

1 Introduction

The advancement of mobile technologies has promoted the shift of online learning application and practices from the use of personal computers to portable devices such as pocket PCs, tablet computers and smartphones [1]. Mobile learning (henceforth m-learning) is a term commonly used to present a connection of e-learning and mobile computing, providing students with opportunities to experience learning in both formal

and informal educational settings [2]. Digital devices that students can use to access m-learning include smartphones, laptops, iPods, personal digital assistants and other devices [1], [3]–[5]. Papadakis, Vaiopoulou, Kalogiannakis, and Stamovlasis [6] have argued the values of several digital devices in addressing the limitation of the ‘traditional’ graphic user interface from PCs or laptops computers. Papadakis et al also assert that the incorporation of the digital devices may provide an opportunity for students to personalise their learning experiences and improve students’ engagement as well. Besides, Gikas and Grant [7] suggest the benefits of mobile computing devices for m-learning, including that they provide more educational opportunities for students in remote areas to access course content and to interact with teachers and other students. Some authors also believe that the incorporation of mobile computing devices in conjunction with social media will create more access for interaction and communication which will, thus, enhance learning [7], [8].

The incorporation of the smartphone and social media to enhance learning in the higher education context is evidenced in the literature [1], [4], [7], [8]. Social media is used not only to facilitate interaction and communication but also to provide more accessible learning resources, to promote collaborative learning [9]–[11] and to create an authentic learning environment [4], [12]. Sobaih, Moustafa, Ghandforoush and Khan [13] suggest that social media can be an alternative online learning solution for developing countries who often lack an infrastructure, communication technology and other advanced online learning management systems.

The current study aims to explore the adoption of smartphone technology in students’ m-learning during their courses in a state polytechnic classroom. Particularly, it addresses two questions as below:

1. What are students’ technological acceptance and connectedness to learning to the use of social media to promote m-learning?
2. To what extent does m-learning with the smartphone and social media facilitate students’ learning motivation, interaction and learning performance?

2 Literature review

2.1 Mobile learning in a higher education setting

Mobile learning has been widely practised in higher education across the world. For instance, a survey by Dahlstrom [14] suggested that students are currently leading the implementation of mobile technological devices into their classrooms. Moreover, 67% of the surveyed students expressed the view that mobile technology is undoubtedly crucial to their academic activities and outcomes. Moreover, advanced mobile technology has enabled the integration of a learning management system into many mobile operating systems [15]. Literature has also suggested that m-learning could reduce the gap between formal and informal learning environment allowing students to access learning resources with their preferences anytime and anywhere [16]–[18]. Pedro et al. [17] argue that the incorporation of m-learning in and outside the classroom promotes immediacy, mobility and convenience in learning.

There is a body of literature that suggests that the incorporation of m-learning in education enables students to have a better awareness of team collaboration, creative thinking, critical thinking, problem-solving and communication [16], [19]. A study by Chang et al. [19] found that students had better self-awareness in team collaboration and creative thinking, although there was no significant difference between male and female students. The finding of the study also revealed that students who study in educational institutions where m-learning was used in more extended periods tend to have higher awareness than those where m-learning is used less.

M-learning has also been widely incorporated in vocational higher education classrooms. In an accounting classroom, Seow and Wong [20] developed a mobile game application called Accounting Challenge (ACE) to facilitate learning accounting. In particular, the application is aimed to encourage students to learn about accounting in an entertaining way outside the classroom. They found that ACE promoted flexible learning, and applications make learning accounting more enjoyable. In a study, Li, Lee, Wong, Yau, and Wong [21] examined the effect of using mobile apps to promote the motivation, social interaction and learning performance of 20 nursing students. The findings revealed that students had a high level of motivation in learning and accomplishments. However, a few students were observed to be less satisfied and had insufficient self-efficacy with the m-learning. From the comparison of two tests, the study found students performed better after practising m-learning. Cheung [22] explored the use of the smartphone and attitudes towards its use for m-learning in marketing and public relation subjects. He found that students' m-learning intentions were mainly affected by students' aspects (e.g. students' willingness and attitude towards smartphone for learning, students' technological competence to address academic matters), online interactions, features offered in the device, and sharing facilities.

Despite the plethora of m-learning in vocational higher education, some critical issues were found concerning its incorporation in teaching and learning practices, such as concerns about the readiness of college campuses to adopt m-learning [23], technological competence [24] and physical and social spaces for a learning environment [16]. The other challenges are technical, including low processing power and speed of the mobile devices, the small screen size that affects visibility and readability of the texts, limited storage, and short battery life [2].

2.2 Incorporating social media to support students' online learning in a higher education setting

Statistics have shown that the number of people accessing social media grows every year. In the Indonesian context, Mulyono and Gunawan [4] present data that reports about 95% of Indonesian internet users were users of social media in 2013 and the percentage had grown to 97.9% by 2018. Such growth also affects the number of teachers and students at university [9]. Literature has suggested that social media adoption has effects on students' learning. For example, social media provides more accessible information for students about learning activities [9]. The adoption of social media for teaching and learning also enables the teachers and students to share learning materials

at their ease [25]. Social media also offers more room for teachers' and students' interaction and communication and thus promotes engagement [26] and enables collaborative learning [9]–[11].

A body of literature has documented the incorporation of social media in the university classroom across Indonesian provinces. Susilo [27] examined the potential use of Facebook for an academic learning network in the context of the Indonesian open university. Salikin and Tahir [28] examined the use of social media to help improve students' writing of descriptive text. La Hansin, Risdiany, Utami and Sulisworo [29] investigated the incorporation of WhatsApp to facilitate collaborative writing in the English language learning classroom. Rehaded, Puspitasari, and Hidayati [30] investigated the effect of Whatsapp use on undergraduate students in a private university. Unfortunately, little has been explored regarding the adoption of smartphone and social media in the vocational higher education context. This study, therefore, aims to address this gap by evaluating vocational higher education students' technological acceptance and connectedness to the use of social media to promote m-learning; and the extent to which m-learning with smartphones and social media facilitate students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. The current study contributes to the current literature of the unified theory of technology acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) and connected learning contextualized in Indonesian vocational higher education. More importantly, the study will demonstrate the potential use of mixed method approach in examining students' acceptance of smartphone for learning and their connectedness in the learning itself.

3 Methodology

3.1 Study design

A mixed-methods approach was adopted to conduct the current study. Creswell [31] argues that mixed-methods provide an opportunity for researchers to collect both quantitative and qualitative data to allow them to address the research questions. In the current study, the quantitative approach design was aimed to address Research Question 1, examining students' technological acceptance and connectedness to the use of social media to promote m-learning. A survey method was adopted in the quantitative design. According to Cohen, Manion, Morrison and Bell [32], survey provides an opportunity for researchers to collect quantitative data with time efficient. Moreover, they also argue that survey enables the researchers to target abundant number of study participants and generate quantitative data at their ease. In the current study, a research questionnaire was administered to a total of 221 students across education programmes in the polytechnic which 174 of whom completed it (see section 3.2.).

The qualitative research design was employed to address Research Question 2, evaluating the extent to which m-learning by smartphone facilitates students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. Student written reflection method was employed in the qualitative research design to capture students' views on the incorpo-

ration of smartphone to support their learning inside and outside the classroom. Zacharias [42] argues the students' reflection can be used as a tool to explore the student participants' voices in the classroom, allowing researchers to recognise the discrepancy between what teachers expect from the students and what students have learned. To this end, the reflection-on-action (RoA) method was adopted in which six students were asked to write a reflection on what they had experienced during the incorporation of smartphone to support the learning activities.

3.2 Setting and the participants

The current study was conducted in the state polytechnic of Kreatif Media in Jakarta, Indonesia. The polytechnic was established in 2008 to sustain the Indonesian creative and cultural industry. It offers some education programmes with several concentrations including design, publishing, broadcasting, graphic design, game technology, packaging technology, and animation. There were twelve study programmes with lengths of study ranging from a one-year to a four-year diploma.

Using a non-probability sampling technique, a total of 221 students across education programmes in the polytechnic participated in the current study where they were asked to complete a technological acceptance questionnaire. A total of 221 students completed the questionnaire, but only 174 students mentioned that they used a smartphone and social media for m-learning (see Table 1). These 174 records were then used for further structural equation modelling (SEM) in the quantitative data analysis with SmartPLS software [33]. Six out of 174 students were then randomly invited to participate in an interview session.

3.3 Method for data collection and analysis

The data of the current study were gathered through surveys and students' writing reflection on their use of smartphones for m-learning during a course. An online survey questionnaire was developed to collect quantitative data from the students. The online development of the survey instrument was aimed at easing the distribution of the instrument, target wider participation and promote automatic data collection as well as tabulation [34], [35]. The questionnaire included three main sections: demographic information, the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) section and connected learning environment. The demographic information included gender and age. The UTAUT questionnaire section was adapted from some previous studies [36]–[39]. The section comprised 26 items with six constructs: Perceived usefulness or PU (4 items), perceived ease of use or PE (4 items), subjective norm or SN (3 items), perceived playfulness or PP (3 items), attitude or ATT (4 items) and acceptance or ACC (3 items). The connected learning section was adapted from Dwyer, Bingham, Carlson, Prisbell, Cruz, and Fus's [40] connected classroom climate (CCC) inventory comprising a single aspect with four items. All items in the survey questionnaire were measured on a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree (scored 5) to strongly disagree (scored 1).

After a one-month distribution period, the online questionnaire was halted with a total of 221 records. A spreadsheet was created from the Google form to allow the researcher to perform data tabulation and screening [34]. The spreadsheet was then downloaded and converted into an Excel file. The data were scored and tabulated. Out of 221, only 174 students mentioned that they used a smartphone and social media for m-learning (see Table 1). These 174 records were then used for further structural equation modelling (SEM) in the quantitative data analysis.

In addition to the survey, the qualitative data were gathered using the students' written reflection. Six of the 174 participants were selected randomly to write a reflection. Coulson and Harvey [41] perceive reflection as an alternative to promote students' learning and help improve their higher-order thinking through the experience. Reflection can also be used as a tool for teachers to explore the learners' voices in the classroom, identifying the discrepancy between what teachers expect from the students and what students have learned [42]. In the higher education context, engaging in learning reflection is critical in students' learning as it allows teachers and students to recognise both the strengths and weaknesses of the teaching itself [43]. The reflection-on-action (RoA) method was adopted to encourage students' reflection. By such a method, students were encouraged to write a reflection on what they had experienced at the end of their course [44], [45]. Eight reflective questions were given to the students to help them systematically express their views [16]. Students' reflections were collected and analyzed qualitatively using Rayford's [46] procedure.

4 Findings

4.1 Findings of the quantitative data analysis

Table 1 shows the demography of the participants and Figure 1 presents the data related to students' use of social media to promote m-learning. As shown in Figure 1, findings of the descriptive analysis from the quantitative data shows that WhatsApp was the most popular social media used by the students to promote m-learning (N=163), followed by YouTube (N=117), Instagram (N=108), Twitter (N=55) and Facebook (N=29). The popularity of WhatsApp, YouTube and Instagram might be due to students' need to publish their works (e.g. movies, animation and images) on social media without having a reduction on the image or video quality.

Table 1. Demography of the participants

Demography	N	Percentage
Gender		
Male	54	31.1
Female	120	68.9
Age		

< 20	100	57.5
20 – 25	74	42.5
26 – 30	0	0
30 <	0	0

Table 2 below presents the descriptive statistics among the variables.

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation for all variables

Variables	Number of items	M	SD
Perceived usefulness (PU)	4	3.53	0.77
Perceived ease of use (PE)	4	3.19	0.79
Subjective norm (SN)	3	3.54	0.66
Perceived playfulness (PP)	3	3.48	0.76
Connected learning (CL)	4	3.24	0.73
Attitude (ATT)	4	3.03	0.76
Acceptance (ACC)	3	3.00	0.84

Table 2 above presents the mean and standard deviation of the research variables. The variables ‘Subjective Norm’ and ‘Perceived usefulness’ are shown to have the highest mean of 3.54 and 3.53, with a standard deviation of 0.66 and 0.77, respectively. In contrast, students’ acceptance of and attitude towards social media for m-learning are observed to be the lowest mean of, respectively, 3.00 and 3.03 with a standard deviation of 0.84 and 0.76.

The instrument validity and reliability were examined by three primary indicators, including factor loadings, composite reliability coefficients, and the average variance extracted (AVE) [36], [37], [47]. All factor loadings were observed as higher than 0.70.

Table 3. Reliability and validity analysis

	Reliability		AVE	Discriminant validity							
	CR	α		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	0.92	0.87	0.79	0.89							
2	0.91	0.86	0.71	0.75	0.84						
3	0.87	0.81	0.63	0.68	0.71	0.79					
4	0.88	0.81	0.64	0.62	0.75	0.67	0.80				
5	0.88	0.79	0.70	0.65	0.73	0.72	0.66	0.84			
6	0.89	0.84	0.68	0.67	0.68	0.74	0.76	0.73	0.82		
7	0.87	0.77	0.69	0.56	0.54	0.52	0.57	0.54	0.63	0.83	

Note. 1 = acceptance; 2 = attitude; 3 = connected learning; 4 = perceived ease of use; 5 = perceived playfulness; 6 = perceived usefulness; and 7 = Subjective norm.

In Table 3 above, the coefficient of CR ranged from 0.87 to 0.92 while AVE was between 0.63 and 0.79. Such findings showed that all the values were above the recommended CR threshold of 0.60 and AVE threshold of 0.50, indicating the acceptable convergent reliability of all factors. Furthermore, the square root AVE of each factor was observed to be higher than its correlation with any other factors in the current study. The finding indicates that the discriminant validity of the constructs was satisfactory. Also, all alpha values were observed as greater than 0.70, indicating that the reliability of the constructs was also satisfactory. Although the statistical calculation of the six constructs of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) section and connected learning environment has suggested validity of the instruments, several conditions could be found to contribute to the UTAUT and connected learning e.g. hardware reliability, internet speed and reliability (see Papadakis, Vaiopoulou, Kalogiannakis, and Stamovlasis [6]). While the current study only involved some cohorts of students from one vocational higher education, the findings cannot present the views of the Indonesian higher education students in general.

To address Research Question 1, a quantitative analysis using the Structural Equation Model analysis was performed to evaluate students' acceptance of the use of smartphones and social media to promote m-learning.

Table 4. Path analysis and t-value

	Path coefficients	t-value
Attitude towards social media		
Acceptance of using social media for m-learning	0.54	7.93*
Connected learning		
Acceptance of using social media for m-learning	0.29	3.98*
Attitude towards social media	0.25	2.62*
Perceived ease of use		
Attitude towards social media	0.40	4.51*
Perceived playfulness		
Attitude towards social media	0.29	3.81*
Perceived usefulness		
Attitude towards social media	-0.06	0.62
Subjective norm		
Attitude towards social media	0.07	1.01

Note. * $p < .01$

As shown in Table 4, students' attitude towards social media ($\beta = 0.54, p < .01$) and their feeling of connectedness to learning ($\beta = 0.29, p < .01$) significantly influenced their acceptance of using social media for m-learning. Moreover, students' attitude towards social media for m-learning was statistically affected by their connectedness to learning ($\beta = 0.25, p < .01$), perceived ease of use ($\beta = 0.40, p < .01$), and perceived playfulness ($\beta = 0.29, p < .01$). The dimension of students' perceived usefulness ($\beta =$

0.06, $p > .01$) and their subjective norm ($\beta = 0.07$, $p > .01$) were not significantly associated to their attitude towards social media for m-learning.

4.2 Findings of the qualitative data analysis

Research Question 2 attempted to evaluate the extent to which m-learning with smartphones and social media facilitates students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. Findings from students' reflection showed that students utilised some smartphone applications to facilitate their mobile learning, such as a social media application (e.g. WhatsApp), word processing application (e.g. Memo) and some multimedia design application (e.g. PiscArt, Photoshop, and Lightroom). Also, the analysis of students' reflection has suggested that students' use of mobile learning using smartphones increased their learning motivation. Students wrote in their reflection that using a smartphone for mobile learning was easy and time-efficient. Students were observed to study and work on their project anywhere and anytime. Besides, the incorporation of the smartphone for mobile learning had eased students' communication and interaction which thus developed their motivation to learn. Respondent Rudi acknowledged such ease of communication and interaction with his teachers and friends. Similarly, Mirna said:

“(The)smartphone helps me to interact with my teacher, who may not be around in the campus. I can contact him and ask for feedback regarding my assignment off-campus.”

In addition to learning motivation, the analysis of students' reflection found that students used smartphone applications that promoted mutual social interaction and thus improved their learning activities. Students were observed to search some learning resources, to share information and materials about the learning, and smartphone use enabled discussion, peer-assessment and feedback. Mirna, for example, used her smartphone to search for other references related to her book design project from the internet after receiving feedback from her teacher. Anton also mentioned his use of his smartphone for finding learning resources and showed two captures as below:




Fig. 1. Screen captures of Anton's use of his smartphone for finding learning resources

Another student, Rudi, mentioned that he used a word processing application installed on his smartphone to write and edit a draft of his project. He also said he used the device to send his early draft to his teachers and peers to obtain feedback. Anton also mentioned that the smartphone had eased him into the habit of sending and receiving learning materials. Also, the finding of the current study indicated the advanced use of smartphones for small-scale design and editing purposes. One student, Andy, said that he used mobile design applications such as PiscArt, Photoshop and Lightroom to help him work with some small-scale designs.

Despite the benefits that the smartphone and social media had offered to the students, some critical issues were highlighted in such a practice, including limited access to the internet, limited features offered on the smartphone and limited space for interaction and explanation. One of the students, Rudi, said that his limited internet access at home and on campus had constrained his opportunity to communicate and interact. Some students also mentioned that they were not able to install some sophisticated application for their project due to limited storage on the phones. Also, students felt that the teacher's explanation provided via WhatsApp was hard to comprehend due to the limited space given in the apps. Accordingly, students were observed to be more comfortable in face-to-face interaction with their teachers than using a smartphone. Mirna confirmed that her teachers' digital explanations were frequently limited, which were difficult for her to understand. She mentioned her preference to meet and consult on her work with her teacher directly rather than using a smartphone.

5 Discussion

The current study aimed to evaluate students' acceptance of using social media and the extent to which the smartphone and social media might promote m-learning situated in a vocational higher education setting. Findings from the quantitative data analysis suggest that students' acceptance of incorporating social media to promote their m-learning was statistically influenced by their attitude and connectedness to the application. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. This finding corresponds with the earlier study by Dhume, Pattanshetti, Kamble, and Prasad [48] and Teo, Lee, Chai, and Wong [49], suggesting that students' acceptance of technology use is associated with students' attitude and intention to use social media for learning. In other words, students' attitude plays a key role in understanding their acceptance of using technology for educational purposes.

One of the interesting findings suggests that the acceptance of social media for m-learning is particularly associated with students' connectedness to learning rather than perceived usefulness of such an application which confirms the earlier study by Lai, Wang, and Lei [50]. Lai, Wang and Lei found that the variable of perceived usefulness of technology played little role in predicting students' actual use of technology for learning. The role of teacher authority to promote the use of smartphones and social media might be the driver for students' high level of connectedness to the smartphone and social media. In the reflections, students acknowledged that their teachers' instruction drove their use of smartphone applications. One of the students mentioned that she was not allowed to submit her work unless she obtained feedback and approval from her teacher, and the feedback and approval were mainly given through WhatsApp. These findings were also reported in some earlier study. A study by Solihat and Mulyono [1] has suggested the incorporation of smartphones in classroom instruction and online testing. Sung and Mayer [51] suggest that smartphone technology is a convenient tool that improves students' motivation and engagement in their learning. A study by Alzubi and Singh [52] that evaluated English as a foreign language (EFL) undergraduate students' smartphone features and applications to advance their reading skills also found that students were assured, and profoundly motivated through self-regulating their mobile learning. Moreover, a study by Li et al. (2018) revealed that the incorporation of the smartphone to facilitate mobile learning helped develop students' motivation to learn. However, they still encountered inadequate self-efficacy and were noticed to only feel lightly satisfied with the application.

It is important to argue that students' connectedness to the learning through the incorporation of smartphones and social media was mainly due to their strong motivation to participate actively in learning, to stay connected with information during the learning activity and to develop the communication between students and their teachers and between students themselves. As shown in the reflection, the smartphone had motivated the students to share information and materials about the learning and enabled peer-assessment and feedback. These activities were potential for further collaborative activities amongst the students [26]. So [54] argues that this would facilitate students' learning and improve their learning involvement. Particularly, Yanti and Mulyono [55]

suggest that smartphone may facilitate project-based learning by optimising the video and editing features available in the phone.

Besides, the communication and interaction that occurred between the students and between students and their teachers have shown the primary benefits of mobile learning with a smartphone. Such findings were in line with what Alrasheedi, Capretz, and Raza [56] has suggested that mobile learning with a smartphone enables students to monitor their learning activities by themselves at any time and in any place where they are willing to learn. Moreover, Hamidi and Chavoshi [57] believe that such communication and interaction would improve over time as they could ask each other without the limitation of place and time. Students were enabled to review and evaluate a task or simply ask for feedback from their teachers or peers. Such benefits, accordingly, would benefit students' learning activities. More importantly, the communication and interaction created in the mobile learning environment with smartphone use as shown in the findings of the current study is believed to support students' learning, promote students' self-paced learning and enable social interaction between the teacher, students, and their peers [21]. Such conditions have indicated the incorporation of smartphone in students' learning has created an authentic learning environment [4], [55], [58] and accordingly may improve their learning performances. Although, there is unclear from the findings if students' active communication as well as interactions were enabled from teachers' quality instructions.

6 Conclusion

To conclude, mobile learning with the smartphone brings new knowledge to the university students and makes mobile learning possible. Findings of the current study have revealed that students' attitude and connectedness to the smartphone and social media play prominent roles in determining their acceptance of smartphones and social media for m-learning. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. Findings also showed that students' mobile learning using a smartphone helped develop their learning motivation, facilitated learning activities and enabled mutual interaction between the students, and between teachers and students. Students also benefited from the use of smartphones in that they could share information and materials about the learning, enabled peer-assessment and feedback. These activities were potential for further collaborative activities amongst the students [26]. So [54] argues that this would facilitate students' learning and improve their learning involvement.

Although students were shown to use some design applications on the phone, their activity was still limited to small-scale design and editing. Two critical issues identified were the limited features offered in the smartphone and limited space for interaction and explanation. Students' preference for teacher-student face-to-face interaction emphasised the prominent role of teachers' presence in the classroom. It is, therefore, argued that further instructional practices should consider these critical issues and prepare a more flexible design that allows more interaction as well as discussion between teachers and students.

It is also important to acknowledge the limitations of the current study. First, the current study was contextualised in a state vocational higher education setting in Indonesia and the selection of the sample followed a non-probability sampling method. Therefore, the findings of the study cannot be generalised to capture the view of all vocational higher education students. Second, using the qualitative data which were gathered using written reflection had constrained the researchers to explore more information from the participants. Further research on similar topics should, therefore, address these limitations.

7 References

- [1] N. Solihati and H. Mulyono, 'Designing and Evaluating the Use of Smartphones to Facilitate Online Testing in Second-Language Teacher Education (SLTE): An Auto-Ethnographic Study', *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 124–137, 2018.
- [2] Y. J. Joo, N. Kim, and N. H. Kim, 'Factors predicting online university students' use of a mobile learning management system (m-LMS)', *Educ. Technol. Res. Dev.*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 611–630, 2016.
- [3] A. Hikmat and H. Mulyono, 'Smartphone use and multitasking behaviour in a teacher education program (TEP)', *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 4–14, 2018.
- [4] H. Mulyono and G. Suryoputro, 'The use of social media platform to promote authentic learning environment in higher education setting', *Sci. Educ. Today*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 105–123, 2020.
- [5] S. N. Şad and Ö. Göktaş, 'Preservice teachers' perceptions about using mobile phones and laptops in education as mobile learning tools', *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 606–618, 2014.
- [6] S. Papadakis, J. Vaiopoulou, M. Kalogiannakis, and D. Stamovlasis, 'Developing and exploring an evaluation tool for educational apps (ETEA) targeting kindergarten children', *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 4201, 2020.
- [7] J. Gikas and M. M. Grant, 'Mobile computing devices in higher education: Student perspectives on learning with cellphones, smartphones & social media', *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 19, pp. 18–26, 2013.
- [8] J. E. Rodriguez, 'Social media use in higher education: Key areas to consider for educators', *MERLOT J. Online Learn. Teach.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 539–550, 2011.
- [9] R. Chugh and U. Ruhi, 'Social media in higher education: A literature review of Facebook', *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 605–616, 2018.
- [10] C. Irwin, L. Ball, B. Desbrow, and M. Leveritt, 'Students' perceptions of using Facebook as an interactive learning resource at university', *Australas. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 7, 2012.
- [11] M. Makoe, 'Exploring the use of MXit: a cell-phone social network to facilitate learning in distance education', *Open Learn. J. Open, Distance e-Learning*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 251–257, 2010.
- [12] V. Bozalek *et al.*, 'The use of emerging technologies for authentic learning: An African study in higher education', *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 629–638,

- 2013.
- [13] A. E. E. Sobaih, M. A. Moustafa, P. Ghandforoush, and M. Khan, 'To use or not to use? Social media in higher education in developing countries', *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 58, pp. 296–305, 2016.
- [14] E. Dahlstrom, 'ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology', 2012.
- [15] S. Papadakis, M. Kalogiannakis, E. Sifaki, and N. Vidakis, 'Evaluating Moodle use via Smart Mobile Phones. A case study in a Greek University', *EAI Endorsed Trans. Creat. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 16, 2018.
- [16] M. Al-Ismaïl, M. Yamin, Y. Liu, and T. Gedeon, 'Learners characteristics of m-learning preferences', *Int. j. inf. tecnol.*, pp. 1–13, 2019.
- [17] L. Pedro, C. Barbosa, and C. Santos, 'A critical review of mobile learning integration in formal educational contexts', *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1–15, 2018.
- [18] S. Papadakis, 'Evaluating pre-service teachers' acceptance of mobile devices with regards to their age and gender: a case study in Greece.', *Int. J. Mob. Learn. Organ.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 336–352, 2018.
- [19] W. Chang, Y. Liu, and T. Huang, 'Perceptions of learning effectiveness in m-learning: Scale development and student awareness', *J. Comput. Assist. Learn.*, pp. 1–12, 2017.
- [20] P. S. Seow and S. P. Wong, 'Using a mobile gaming app to enhance accounting education', *J. Educ. Bus.*, vol. 91, no. 8, pp. 434–439, 2016.
- [21] K. C. Li, L. Y. K. Lee, S. L. Wong, I. S. Y. Yau, and B. T. M. Wong, 'Effects of mobile apps for nursing students: learning motivation, social interaction and study performance', *Open Learn.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 99–114, 2018.
- [22] R. Cheung, 'Predicting user intentions for mobile learning in a project-based environment', *Int. J. Electron. Commer. Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 263–280, 2014.
- [23] J. Cheon, S. Lee, S. M. Crooks, and J. Song, 'An investigation of mobile learning readiness in higher education based on the theory of planned behavior', *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1054–1064, 2012.
- [24] K. Mac Callum and L. Jeffrey, 'The influence of students' ICT skills and their adoption of mobile learning', *Australas. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 29, no. 3, 2013.
- [25] G. Siemens and M. Weller, 'Higher education and the promises and perils of social network', *Rev. Univ. y Soc. del Conoc.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 164–170, 2011.
- [26] M. K. Kabilan, N. Ahmad, and M. J. Z. Abidin, 'Facebook: An online environment for learning of English in institutions of higher education?', *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 179–187, 2010.
- [27] A. Susilo, 'Use of Facebook for academic network learning in Universitas Terbuka-Indonesia', *Asian Assoc. Open Univ. J.*, 2008.
- [28] H. Salikin and S. Z. Bin Tahir, 'The social media-based approach in teaching writing at Jember University, Indonesia', *Int. J. English Linguist.*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 46, 2017.
- [29] A. La Hanisi, R. Risdiany, Y. Dwi Utami, and D. Sulisworo, 'The use of WhatsApp in collaborative learning to improve English teaching and learning process', *Int. J. Res. Stud. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 29–35, 2018.
- [30] U. Rahaded, E. Puspitasari, and D. Hidayati, 'The Impact of Whatsapp Toward UAD Undergraduate Students' Behavior In Learning Process', *Int. J. Educ. Manag. Innov.*,

- vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 55–68, 2020.
- [31] J. W. Creswell, *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*, 4th ed. Pearson, 2012.
- [32] L. Cohen, L. Manion, K. Morrison, and R. Bell, *Research methods in education*, 8th ed. London: Routledge, 2018.
- [33] C. M. Ringle, S. Wende, and J.-M. Becker, ‘SmartPLS 3’. Boenningstedt: SmartPLS GmbH, <http://www.smartpls.com>, 2015.
- [34] S. K. Ningsih, S. Narahara, and H. Mulyono, ‘An exploration of factors contributing to students’ unwillingness to communicate in a foreign language across Indonesian secondary schools.’, *Int. J. Instr.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 811–824, 2018.
- [35] S. Zulaiha and H. Mulyono, ‘Exploring junior high school EFL teachers’ training needs of assessment literacy’, *Cogent Educ.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 1772943, Jan. 2020.
- [36] A. Lawson-Body, L. Willoughby, L. Lawson-Body, and E. M. Tamandja, ‘Students’ acceptance of E-books: An application of UTAUT’, *J. Comput. Inf. Syst.*, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- [37] H. H. Yang, L. Feng, and J. MacLeod, ‘Understanding college students’ acceptance of cloud classrooms in flipped instruction: integrating UTAUT and connected classroom climate’, *J. Educ. Comput. Res.*, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 1258–1276, 2019.
- [38] V. Venkatesh, M. G. Morris, G. B. Davis, and F. D. Davis, ‘User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view’, *MIS Q.*, pp. 425–478, 2003.
- [39] D. Z. Dumpit and C. J. Fernandez, ‘Analysis of the use of social media in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) using the Technology Acceptance Model’, *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 5, 2017.
- [40] K. K. Dwyer, S. G. Bingham, R. E. Carlson, M. Prisbell, A. M. Cruz, and D. A. Fus, ‘Communication and connectedness in the classroom: Development of the connected classroom climate inventory’, *Commun. Res. Reports*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 264–272, 2004.
- [41] D. Coulson and M. Harvey, ‘Scaffolding student reflection for experience-based learning: A framework’, *Teach. High. Educ.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 401–413, 2013.
- [42] N. Zacharias, ‘Prompting second language writers for productive reflection using narrative questioning prompt’, *Indones. JELT*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 115–133, 2019.
- [43] S. Quinton and T. Smallbone, ‘Feeding forward: using feedback to promote student reflection and learning—a teaching model’, *Innov. Educ. Teach. Int.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 125–135, 2010.
- [44] T. S. C. Farrell, *Reflective language teaching: From research to practice*. London, New York: Continuum, 2007.
- [45] N. Solihati and H. Mulyono, ‘A Hybrid classroom instruction in second language teacher education (SLTE): A critical reflection of teacher educators’, *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 169–180, 2017.
- [46] C. R. Rayford, ‘Reflective practice: The teacher in the mirror’, Graduate College University of Nevada, Las Vegas, 2010.
- [47] J. F. Hair Jr, G. T. M. Hult, C. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage publications, 2017.
- [48] S. M. Dhume, M. Y. Pattanshetti, S. S. Kamble, and T. Prasad, ‘Adoption of social media by business education students: Application of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)’, in *2012 IEEE International Conference on Technology Enhanced Education*

- (ICTEE), 2012, pp. 1–10.
- [49] T. Teo, C. B. Lee, C. S. Chai, and S. L. Wong, ‘Assessing the intention to use technology among pre-service teachers in Singapore and Malaysia: A multigroup invariance analysis of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)’, *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 1000–1009, 2009.
- [50] C. Lai, Q. Wang, and J. Lei, ‘What factors predict undergraduate students’ use of technology for learning? A case from Hong Kong’, *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 569–579, 2012.
- [51] E. Sung and R. Mayer, ‘Online multimedia learning with mobile devices and desktop computers: An experimental test of Clark’s methods-not-media hypothesis’, *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 29, pp. 639–647, 2013.
- [52] A. Alzubi and M. Singh, ‘The impact of social strategies through smartphones on the Saudi learners’ socio-cultural autonomy in EFL reading context’, *Int. Electron. J. Elem. Educ.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 31–40, 2018.
- [53] I. S. H. Wai, S. S. Y. Ng, D. K. W. Chiu, K. K. W. Ho, and P. Lo, ‘Exploring undergraduate students’ usage pattern of mobile apps for education’, *J. Librariansh. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 34–47, 2018.
- [54] S. So, ‘Mobile instant messaging support for teaching and learning in higher education’, *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 31, pp. 32–42, 2016.
- [55] P. Yanti and H. Mulyono, ‘Incorporating a Smartphone Video in a Theatrical Activity to Promote an Authentic Language Learning Environment in a Lower Secondary School Classroom’, 2020.
- [56] M. Alrasheedi, L. F. Capretz, and A. Raza, ‘A systematic review of the critical factors for success of mobile learning in higher education (university students’ perspective)’, *J. Educ. Comput. Res.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 257–276, 2015.
- [57] H. Hamidi and A. Chavoshi, ‘Analysis of the essential factors for the adoption of mobile learning in higher education: A case study of students of the University of Technology’, *Telemat. Informatics*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1053–1070, 2018.
- [58] A. Herrington and J. Herrington, ‘What is an authentic learning environment?’, in *Authentic learning environments in higher education*, A. Herrington and J. Herrington, Eds. Information Science Publishing, 2008, pp. 68–77.

8 Authors

Purnomo Ananto is an associate professor and currently the director of State Polytechnic of Kreatif Media, Jakarta, Indonesia. His research interests include education administration and management and the use of technology for teaching and learning in vocational higher education.

Sri Kusuma Ningsih is a lecturer at University of Muhammdiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA, Jakarta, Indonesia. She obtained her Master’s at the same university and is currently pursuing her doctoral study at Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia. Her research mainly focuses on the use of technology for teachers’ professional development.

Short Paper—Paper Formatting for online-journals.org

Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting

Purnomo Ananto^(✉)

State Polytechnic of Kreatif Media, Jakarta, Indonesia
purnomo.ananto@polimedia.ac.id

Sri Kusuma Ningsih

University of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA, Jakarta, Indonesia
sri_kusuma@uhamka.ac.id

Abstract—This brief article reports on an exploration of students’ adoption of smartphone technology and social media to promote m-learning in the context of a state polytechnic classroom. To this end, a mixed-methods approach was employed. A quantitative survey involved 221 students across education programmes in an Indonesian vocational higher education, and six of them were asked to write a reflection after a course. Findings of the current study have revealed that students’ attitude and connectedness to the smartphone and social media play prominent roles in determining their acceptance of smartphones and social media for m-learning. Specifically, the students’ connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. Findings of the study also revealed that students’ mobile learning using the smartphone helped develop their learning motivation, facilitated learning activities and enabled interaction amongst the students, and between teachers and students. Students also benefited from the use of the smartphone by which means they could share information and materials about the learning, enabled peer-assessment and feedback. Two critical issues that were found included limited smartphone features and less space for interaction and explanation.

Keywords—Smartphone, mobile learning, polytechnic, learning motivation, interaction, learning performance

1 Introduction

The advancement of mobile technologies has promoted the shift of online learning application and practices from the use of personal computers to portable devices such as pocket PCs, tablet computers and smartphones [1]. Mobile learning (henceforth m-learning) is a term commonly used to present a connection of e-learning and mobile computing, providing students with opportunities to experience learning in both formal and informal educational settings [2]. Digital devices that students can use to access m-

learning include smartphones, laptops, iPods, personal digital assistants and other devices [1], [3]–[5]. Papadakis, Vaiopoulou, Kalogiannakis, and Stamovlasis [6] have argued the values of several digital devices in addressing the limitation of the ‘traditional’ graphic user interface from PCs or laptops computers. Papadakis et al also assert that the incorporation of the digital devices may provide an opportunity for students to personalise their learning experiences and improve students’ engagement as well. Besides, Gikas and Grant [7] suggest the benefits of mobile computing devices for m-learning, including that they provide more educational opportunities for students in remote areas to access course content and to interact with teachers and other students. Some authors also believe that the incorporation of mobile computing devices in conjunction with social media will create more access for interaction and communication which will, thus, enhance learning [7], [8].

The incorporation of the smartphone and social media to enhance learning in the higher education context is evidenced in the literature [1], [4], [7], [8]. Social media is used not only to facilitate interaction and communication but also to provide more accessible learning resources, to promote collaborative learning [9]–[11] and to create an authentic learning environment [4], [12]. Sobaih, Moustafa, Ghandforoush and Khan [13] suggest that social media can be an alternative online learning solution for developing countries who often lack an infrastructure, communication technology and other advanced online learning management systems.

The current study aims to explore the adoption of smartphone technology in students’ m-learning during their courses in a state polytechnic classroom. Particularly, it addresses two questions as below:

1. What are students’ technological acceptance and connectedness to learning to the use of social media to promote m-learning?
2. To what extent does m-learning with the smartphone and social media facilitate students’ learning motivation, interaction and learning performance?

2 Literature review

2.1 Mobile learning in a higher education setting

Mobile learning has been widely practised in higher education across the world. For instance, a survey by Dahlstrom [14] suggested that students are currently leading the implementation of mobile technological devices into their classrooms. Moreover, 67% of the surveyed students expressed the view that mobile technology is undoubtedly crucial to their academic activities and outcomes. Moreover, advanced mobile technology has enabled the integration of a learning management system into many mobile operating systems [15]. Literature has also suggested that m-learning could reduce the gap between formal and informal learning environment allowing students to access learning resources with their preferences anytime and anywhere [16]–[18]. Pedro et al. [17] argue that the incorporation of m-learning in and outside the classroom promotes immediacy, mobility and convenience in learning.

There is a body of literature that suggests that the incorporation of m-learning in education enables students to have a better awareness of team collaboration, creative thinking, critical thinking, problem-solving and communication [16], [19]. A study by Chang et al. [19] found that students had better self-awareness in team collaboration and creative thinking, although there was no significant difference between male and female students. The finding of the study also revealed that students who study in educational institutions where m-learning was used in more extended periods tend to have higher awareness than those where m-learning is used less.

M-learning has also been widely incorporated in vocational higher education classrooms. In an accounting classroom, Seow and Wong [20] developed a mobile game application called Accounting Challenge (ACE) to facilitate learning accounting. In particular, the application is aimed to encourage students to learn about accounting in an entertaining way outside the classroom. They found that ACE promoted flexible learning, and applications make learning accounting more enjoyable. In a study, Li, Lee, Wong, Yau, and Wong [21] examined the effect of using mobile apps to promote the motivation, social interaction and learning performance of 20 nursing students. The findings revealed that students had a high level of motivation in learning and accomplishments. However, a few students were observed to be less satisfied and had insufficient self-efficacy with the m-learning. From the comparison of two tests, the study found students performed better after practising m-learning. Cheung [22] explored the use of the smartphone and attitudes towards its use for m-learning in marketing and public relation subjects. He found that students' m-learning intentions were mainly affected by students' aspects (e.g. students' willingness and attitude towards smartphone for learning, students' technological competence to address academic matters), online interactions, features offered in the device, and sharing facilities.

Despite the plethora of m-learning in vocational higher education, some critical issues were found concerning its incorporation in teaching and learning practices, such as concerns about the readiness of college campuses to adopt m-learning [23], technological competence [24] and physical and social spaces for a learning environment [16]. The other challenges are technical, including low processing power and speed of the mobile devices, the small screen size that affects visibility and readability of the texts, limited storage, and short battery life [2].

2.2 Incorporating social media to support students' online learning in a higher education setting

Statistics have shown that the number of people accessing social media grows every year. In the Indonesian context, Mulyono and Gunawan [4] present data that reports about 95% of Indonesian internet users were users of social media in 2013 and the percentage had grown to 97.9% by 2018. Such growth also affects the number of teachers and students at university [9]. Literature has suggested that social media adoption has effects on students' learning. For example, social media provides more accessible information for students about learning activities [9]. The adoption of social media for teaching and learning also enables the teachers and students to share learning materials

at their ease [25]. Social media also offers more room for teachers' and students' interaction and communication and thus promotes engagement [26] and enables collaborative learning [9]–[11].

A body of literature has documented the incorporation of social media in the university classroom across Indonesian provinces. Susilo [27] examined the potential use of Facebook for an academic learning network in the context of the Indonesian open university. Salikin and Tahir [28] examined the use of social media to help improve students' writing of descriptive text. La Hansin, Risdiany, Utami and Sulisworo [29] investigated the incorporation of WhatsApp to facilitate collaborative writing in the English language learning classroom. Rehaded, Puspitasari, and Hidayati [30] investigated the effect of Whatsapp use on undergraduate students in a private university. Unfortunately, little has been explored regarding the adoption of smartphone and social media in the vocational higher education context. This study, therefore, aims to address this gap by evaluating vocational higher education students' technological acceptance and connectedness to the use of social media to promote m-learning; and the extent to which m-learning with smartphones and social media facilitate students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. The current study contributes to the current literature of the unified theory of technology acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) and connected learning contextualized in Indonesian vocational higher education. More importantly, the study will demonstrate the potential use of mixed method approach in examining students' acceptance of smartphone for learning and their connectedness in the learning itself.

3 Methodology

3.1 Study design

A mixed-methods approach was adopted to conduct the current study. Creswell [31] argues that mixed-methods provide an opportunity for researchers to collect both quantitative and qualitative data to allow them to address the research questions. In the current study, the quantitative approach design was aimed to address Research Question 1, examining students' technological acceptance and connectedness to the use of social media to promote m-learning. A survey method was adopted in the quantitative design. According to Cohen, Manion, Morrison and Bell [32], survey provides an opportunity for researchers to collect quantitative data with time efficient. Moreover, they also argue that survey enables the researchers to target abundant number of study participants and generate quantitative data at their ease. In the current study, a research questionnaire was administered to a total of 221 students across education programmes in the polytechnic which 174 of whom completed it (see section 3.2.).

The qualitative research design was employed to address Research Question 2, evaluating the extent to which m-learning by smartphone facilitates students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. Student written reflection method was employed in the qualitative research design to capture students' views on the incorpo-

ration of smartphone to support their learning inside and outside the classroom. Zacharias [42] argues the students' reflection can be used as a tool to explore the student participants' voices in the classroom, allowing researchers to recognise the discrepancy between what teachers expect from the students and what students have learned. To this end, the reflection-on-action (RoA) method was adopted in which six students were asked to write a reflection on what they had experienced during the incorporation of smartphone to support the learning activities.

3.2 Setting and the participants

The current study was conducted in the state polytechnic of Kreatif Media in Jakarta, Indonesia. The polytechnic was established in 2008 to sustain the Indonesian creative and cultural industry. It offers some education programmes with several concentrations including design, publishing, broadcasting, graphic design, game technology, packaging technology, and animation. There were twelve study programmes with lengths of study ranging from a one-year to a four-year diploma.

Using a non-probability sampling technique, a total of 221 students across education programmes in the polytechnic participated in the current study where they were asked to complete a technological acceptance questionnaire. A total of 221 students completed the questionnaire, but only 174 students mentioned that they used a smartphone and social media for m-learning (see Table 1). These 174 records were then used for further structural equation modelling (SEM) in the quantitative data analysis with SmartPLS software [33]. Six out of 174 students were then randomly invited to participate in an interview session.

3.3 Method for data collection and analysis

The data of the current study were gathered through surveys and students' writing reflection on their use of smartphones for m-learning during a course. An online survey questionnaire was developed to collect quantitative data from the students. The online development of the survey instrument was aimed at easing the distribution of the instrument, target wider participation and promote automatic data collection as well as tabulation [34], [35]. The questionnaire included three main sections: demographic information, the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) section and connected learning environment. The demographic information included gender and age. The UTAUT questionnaire section was adapted from some previous studies [36]–[39]. The section comprised 26 items with six constructs: Perceived usefulness or PU (4 items), perceived ease of use or PE (4 items), subjective norm or SN (3 items), perceived playfulness or PP (3 items), attitude or ATT (4 items) and acceptance or ACC (3 items). The connected learning section was adapted from Dwyer, Bingham, Carlson, Prisbell, Cruz, and Fus's [40] connected classroom climate (CCC) inventory comprising a single aspect with four items. All items in the survey questionnaire were measured on a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree (scored 5) to strongly disagree (scored 1).

After a one-month distribution period, the online questionnaire was halted with a total of 221 records. A spreadsheet was created from the Google form to allow the researcher to perform data tabulation and screening [34]. The spreadsheet was then downloaded and converted into an Excel file. The data were scored and tabulated. Out of 221, only 174 students mentioned that they used a smartphone and social media for m-learning (see Table 1). These 174 records were then used for further structural equation modelling (SEM) in the quantitative data analysis.

In addition to the survey, the qualitative data were gathered using the students' written reflection. Six of the 174 participants were selected randomly to write a reflection. Coulson and Harvey [41] perceive reflection as an alternative to promote students' learning and help improve their higher-order thinking through the experience. Reflection can also be used as a tool for teachers to explore the learners' voices in the classroom, identifying the discrepancy between what teachers expect from the students and what students have learned [42]. In the higher education context, engaging in learning reflection is critical in students' learning as it allows teachers and students to recognise both the strengths and weaknesses of the teaching itself [43]. The reflection-on-action (RoA) method was adopted to encourage students' reflection. By such a method, students were encouraged to write a reflection on what they had experienced at the end of their course [44], [45]. Eight reflective questions were given to the students to help them systematically express their views [16]. Students' reflections were collected and analyzed qualitatively using Rayford's [46] procedure.

4 Findings

4.1 Findings of the quantitative data analysis

Table 1 shows the demography of the participants and Figure 1 presents the data related to students' use of social media to promote m-learning. As shown in Figure 1, findings of the descriptive analysis from the quantitative data shows that WhatsApp was the most popular social media used by the students to promote m-learning (N=163), followed by YouTube (N=117), Instagram (N=108), Twitter (N=55) and Facebook (N=29). The popularity of WhatsApp, YouTube and Instagram might be due to students' need to publish their works (e.g. movies, animation and images) on social media without having a reduction on the image or video quality.

Table 1. Demography of the participants

Demography	N	Percentage
Gender		
Male	54	31.1
Female	120	68.9
Age		
< 20	100	57.5
20 – 25	74	42.5
26 – 30	0	0

30 <	0	0
------	---	---

Table 2 below presents the descriptive statistics among the variables.

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation for all variables

Variables	Number of items	M	SD
Perceived usefulness (PU)	4	3.53	0.77
Perceived ease of use (PE)	4	3.19	0.79
Subjective norm (SN)	3	3.54	0.66
Perceived playfulness (PP)	3	3.48	0.76
Connected learning (CL)	4	3.24	0.73
Attitude (ATT)	4	3.03	0.76
Acceptance (ACC)	3	3.00	0.84

Table 2 above presents the mean and standard deviation of the research variables. The variables ‘Subjective Norm’ and ‘Perceived usefulness’ are shown to have the highest mean of 3.54 and 3.53, with a standard deviation of 0.66 and 0.77, respectively. In contrast, students’ acceptance of and attitude towards social media for m-learning are observed to be the lowest mean of, respectively, 3.00 and 3.03 with a standard deviation of 0.84 and 0.76.

The instrument validity and reliability were examined by three primary indicators, including factor loadings, composite reliability coefficients, and the average variance extracted (AVE) [36], [37], [47]. All factor loadings were observed as higher than 0.70.

Table 3. Reliability and validity analysis

	Reliability		AVE	Discriminant validity						
	CR	α		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	0.92	0.87	0.79	0.89						
2	0.91	0.86	0.71	0.75	0.84					
3	0.87	0.81	0.63	0.68	0.71	0.79				
4	0.88	0.81	0.64	0.62	0.75	0.67	0.80			
5	0.88	0.79	0.70	0.65	0.73	0.72	0.66	0.84		
6	0.89	0.84	0.68	0.67	0.68	0.74	0.76	0.73	0.82	
7	0.87	0.77	0.69	0.56	0.54	0.52	0.57	0.54	0.63	0.83

Note. 1 = acceptance; 2 = attitude; 3 = connected learning; 4 = perceived ease of use; 5 = perceived playfulness; 6 = perceived usefulness; and 7 = Subjective norm.

In Table 3 above, the coefficient of CR ranged from 0.87 to 0.92 while AVE was between 0.63 and 0.79. Such findings showed that all the values were above the recommended CR threshold of 0.60 and AVE threshold of 0.50, indicating the acceptable convergent reliability of all factors. Furthermore, the square root AVE of each factor was observed to be higher than its correlation with any other factors in the current study. The finding indicates that the discriminant validity of the constructs was satisfactory.

Also, all alpha values were observed as greater than 0.70, indicating that the reliability of the constructs was also satisfactory. Although the statistical calculation of the six constructs of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) section and connected learning environment has suggested validity of the instruments, several conditions could be found to contribute to the UTAUT and connected learning e.g. hardware reliability, internet speed and reliability (see Papadakis, Vaiopoulou, Kalogiannakis, and Stamovlasis [6]). While the current study only involved some cohorts of students from one vocational higher education, the findings cannot present the views of the Indonesian higher education students in general.

To address Research Question 1, a quantitative analysis using the Structural Equation Model analysis was performed to evaluate students' acceptance of the use of smartphones and social media to promote m-learning.

Table 4. Path analysis and t-value

	Path coefficients	t-value
Attitude towards social media Acceptance of using social media for m-learning	0.54	7.93*
Connected learning Acceptance of using social media for m-learning	0.29	3.98*
Attitude towards social media	0.25	2.62*
Perceived ease of use Attitude towards social media	0.40	4.51*
Perceived playfulness Attitude towards social media	0.29	3.81*
Perceived usefulness Attitude towards social media	-0.06	0.62
Subjective norm Attitude towards social media	0.07	1.01

Note. * $p < .01$

As shown in Table 4, students' attitude towards social media ($\beta = 0.54, p < .01$) and their feeling of connectedness to learning ($\beta = 0.29, p < .01$) significantly influenced their acceptance of using social media for m-learning. Moreover, students' attitude towards social media for m-learning was statistically affected by their connectedness to learning ($\beta = 0.25, p < .01$), perceived ease of use ($\beta = 0.40, p < .01$), and perceived playfulness ($\beta = 0.29, p < .01$). The dimension of students' perceived usefulness ($\beta = -0.06, p > .01$) and their subjective norm ($\beta = 0.07, p > .01$) were not significantly associated to their attitude towards social media for m-learning.

4.2 Findings of the qualitative data analysis

Research Question 2 attempted to evaluate the extent to which m-learning with smartphones and social media facilitates students' learning motivation, interaction and learning performance. Findings from students' reflection showed that students utilised

some smartphone applications to facilitate their mobile learning, such as a social media application (e.g. WhatsApp), word processing application (e.g. Memo) and some multimedia design application (e.g. PiscArt, Photoshop, and Lightroom). Also, the analysis of students' reflection has suggested that students' use of mobile learning using smartphones increased their learning motivation. Students wrote in their reflection that using a smartphone for mobile learning was easy and time-efficient. Students were observed to study and work on their project anywhere and anytime. Besides, the incorporation of the smartphone for mobile learning had eased students' communication and interaction which thus developed their motivation to learn. Respondent Rudi acknowledged such ease of communication and interaction with his teachers and friends. Similarly, Mirna said:

“(The)smartphone helps me to interact with my teacher, who may not be around in the campus. I can contact him and ask for feedback regarding my assignment off-campus.”

In addition to learning motivation, the analysis of students' reflection found that students used smartphone applications that promoted mutual social interaction and thus improved their learning activities. Students were observed to search some learning resources, to share information and materials about the learning, and smartphone use enabled discussion, peer-assessment and feedback. Mirna, for example, used her smartphone to search for other references related to her book design project from the internet after receiving feedback from her teacher. Anton also mentioned his use of his smartphone for finding learning resources and showed two captures as below:




Fig. 1. Screen captures of Anton's use of his smartphone for finding learning resources

Another student, Rudi, mentioned that he used a word processing application installed on his smartphone to write and edit a draft of his project. He also said he used the device to send his early draft to his teachers and peers to obtain feedback. Anton also mentioned that the smartphone had eased him into the habit of sending and receiving learning materials. Also, the finding of the current study indicated the advanced use of smartphones for small-scale design and editing purposes. One student, Andy, said that he used mobile design applications such as PiscArt, Photoshop and Lightroom to help him work with some small-scale designs.

Despite the benefits that the smartphone and social media had offered to the students, some critical issues were highlighted in such a practice, including limited access to the internet, limited features offered on the smartphone and limited space for interaction and explanation. One of the students, Rudi, said that his limited internet access at home and on campus had constrained his opportunity to communicate and interact. Some students also mentioned that they were not able to install some sophisticated application for their project due to limited storage on the phones. Also, students felt that the teacher's explanation provided via WhatsApp was hard to comprehend due to the limited space given in the apps. Accordingly, students were observed to be more comfortable in face-to-face interaction with their teachers than using a smartphone. Mirna con-

firmly that her teachers' digital explanations were frequently limited, which were difficult for her to understand. She mentioned her preference to meet and consult on her work with her teacher directly rather than using a smartphone.

5 Discussion

The current study aimed to evaluate students' acceptance of using social media and the extent to which the smartphone and social media might promote m-learning situated in a vocational higher education setting. Findings from the quantitative data analysis suggest that students' acceptance of incorporating social media to promote their m-learning was statistically influenced by their attitude and connectedness to the application. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. This finding corresponds with the earlier study by Dhume, Pattanshetti, Kamble, and Prasad [48] and Teo, Lee, Chai, and Wong [49], suggesting that students' acceptance of technology use is associated with students' attitude and intention to use social media for learning. In other words, students' attitude plays a key role in understanding their acceptance of using technology for educational purposes.

One of the interesting findings suggests that the acceptance of social media for m-learning is particularly associated with students' connectedness to learning rather than perceived usefulness of such an application which confirms the earlier study by Lai, Wang, and Lei [50]. Lai, Wang and Lei found that the variable of perceived usefulness of technology played little role in predicting students' actual use of technology for learning. The role of teacher authority to promote the use of smartphones and social media might be the driver for students' high level of connectedness to the smartphone and social media. In the reflections, students acknowledged that their teachers' instruction drove their use of smartphone applications. One of the students mentioned that she was not allowed to submit her work unless she obtained feedback and approval from her teacher, and the feedback and approval were mainly given through WhatsApp. These findings were also reported in some earlier study. A study by Solihat and Mulyono [1] has suggested the incorporation of smartphones in classroom instruction and online testing. Sung and Mayer [51] suggest that smartphone technology is a convenient tool that improves students' motivation and engagement in their learning. A study by Alzubi and Singh [52] that evaluated English as a foreign language (EFL) undergraduate students' smartphone features and applications to advance their reading skills also found that students were assured, and profoundly motivated through self-regulating their mobile learning. Moreover, a study by Li et al. (2018) revealed that the incorporation of the smartphone to facilitate mobile learning helped develop students' motivation to learn. However, they still encountered inadequate self-efficacy and were noticed to only feel lightly satisfied with the application.

It is important to argue that students' connectedness to the learning through the incorporation of smartphones and social media was mainly due to their strong motivation to participate actively in learning, to stay connected with information during the learning activity and to develop the communication between students and their teachers and

between students themselves. As shown in the reflection, the smartphone had motivated the students to share information and materials about the learning and enabled peer-assessment and feedback. These activities were potential for further collaborative activities amongst the students [26]. So [54] argues that this would facilitate students' learning and improve their learning involvement. Particularly, Yanti and Mulyono [55] suggest that smartphone may facilitate project-based learning by optimising the video and editing features available in the phone.

Besides, the communication and interaction that occurred between the students and between students and their teachers have shown the primary benefits of mobile learning with a smartphone. Such findings were in line with what Alrasheedi, Capretz, and Raza [56] has suggested that mobile learning with a smartphone enables students to monitor their learning activities by themselves at any time and in any place where they are willing to learn. Moreover, Hamidi and Chavoshi [57] believe that such communication and interaction would improve over time as they could ask each other without the limitation of place and time. Students were enabled to review and evaluate a task or simply ask for feedback from their teachers or peers. Such benefits, accordingly, would benefit students' learning activities. More importantly, the communication and interaction created in the mobile learning environment with smartphone use as shown in the findings of the current study is believed to support students' learning, promote students' self-paced learning and enable social interaction between the teacher, students, and their peers [21]. Such conditions have indicated the incorporation of smartphone in students' learning has created an authentic learning environment [4], [55], [58] and accordingly may improve their learning performances. Although, there is unclear from the findings if students' active communication as well as interactions were enabled from teachers' quality instructions.

6 Conclusion

To conclude, mobile learning with the smartphone brings new knowledge to the university students and makes mobile learning possible. Findings of the current study have revealed that students' attitude and connectedness to the smartphone and social media play prominent roles in determining their acceptance of smartphones and social media for m-learning. Specifically, the students' connectedness, perceived ease of use and perceived playfulness of using social media for m-learning affected their attitude. Findings also showed that students' mobile learning using a smartphone helped develop their learning motivation, facilitated learning activities and enabled mutual interaction between the students, and between teachers and students. Students also benefited from the use of smartphones in that they could share information and materials about the learning, enabled peer-assessment and feedback. These activities were potential for further collaborative activities amongst the students [26]. So [54] argues that this would facilitate students' learning and improve their learning involvement.

Although students were shown to use some design applications on the phone, their activity was still limited to small-scale design and editing. Two critical issues identified were the limited features offered in the smartphone and limited space for interaction

and explanation. Students' preference for teacher-student face-to-face interaction emphasised the prominent role of teachers' presence in the classroom. It is, therefore, argued that further instructional practices should consider these critical issues and prepare a more flexible design that allows more interaction as well as discussion between teachers and students.

It is also important to acknowledge the limitations of the current study. First, the current study was contextualised in a state vocational higher education setting in Indonesia and the selection of the sample followed a non-probability sampling method. Therefore, the findings of the study cannot be generalised to capture the view of all vocational higher education students. Second, using the qualitative data which were gathered using written reflection had constrained the researchers to explore more information from the participants. Further research on similar topics should, therefore, address these limitations.

7 References

- [1] N. Solihati and H. Mulyono, 'Designing and Evaluating the Use of Smartphones to Facilitate Online Testing in Second-Language Teacher Education (SLTE): An Auto-Ethnographic Study', *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 124–137, 2018.
- [2] Y. J. Joo, N. Kim, and N. H. Kim, 'Factors predicting online university students' use of a mobile learning management system (m-LMS)', *Educ. Technol. Res. Dev.*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 611–630, 2016.
- [3] A. Hikmat and H. Mulyono, 'Smartphone use and multitasking behaviour in a teacher education program (TEP)', *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 4–14, 2018.
- [4] H. Mulyono and G. Suryoputro, 'The use of social media platform to promote authentic learning environment in higher education setting', *Sci. Educ. Today*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 105–123, 2020.
- [5] S. N. Şad and Ö. Göktaş, 'Preservice teachers' perceptions about using mobile phones and laptops in education as mobile learning tools', *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 606–618, 2014.
- [6] S. Papadakis, J. Vaiopoulou, M. Kalogiannakis, and D. Stamovlasis, 'Developing and exploring an evaluation tool for educational apps (ETEA) targeting kindergarten children', *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 4201, 2020.
- [7] J. Gikas and M. M. Grant, 'Mobile computing devices in higher education: Student perspectives on learning with cellphones, smartphones & social media', *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 19, pp. 18–26, 2013.
- [8] J. E. Rodriguez, 'Social media use in higher education: Key areas to consider for educators', *MERLOT J. Online Learn. Teach.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 539–550, 2011.
- [9] R. Chugh and U. Ruhi, 'Social media in higher education: A literature review of Facebook', *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 605–616, 2018.
- [10] C. Irwin, L. Ball, B. Desbrow, and M. Leveritt, 'Students' perceptions of using Facebook as an interactive learning resource at university', *Australas. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 7, 2012.
- [11] M. Makoe, 'Exploring the use of MXit: a cell-phone social network to facilitate learning in distance education', *Open Learn. J. Open, Distance e-Learning*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 251–257, 2010.
- [12] V. Bozalek *et al.*, 'The use of emerging technologies for authentic learning: AS outh

- African study in higher education', *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 629–638, 2013.
- [13] A. E. E. Sobaih, M. A. Moustafa, P. Ghandforoush, and M. Khan, 'To use or not to use? Social media in higher education in developing countries', *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 58, pp. 296–305, 2016.
- [14] E. Dahlstrom, 'ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology', 2012.
- [15] S. Papadakis, M. Kalogiannakis, E. Sifaki, and N. Vidakis, 'Evaluating Moodle use via Smart Mobile Phones. A case study in a Greek University', *EAI Endorsed Trans. Creat. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 16, 2018.
- [16] M. Al-Ismaïl, M. Yamin, Y. Liu, and T. Gedeon, 'Learners characteristics of m-learning preferences', *Int. j. inf. tecnol.*, pp. 1–13, 2019.
- [17] L. Pedro, C. Barbosa, and C. Santos, 'A critical review of mobile learning integration in formal educational contexts', *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1–15, 2018.
- [18] S. Papadakis, 'Evaluating pre-service teachers' acceptance of mobile devices with regards to their age and gender: a case study in Greece.', *Int. J. Mob. Learn. Organ.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 336–352, 2018.
- [19] W. Chang, Y. Liu, and T. Huang, 'Perceptions of learning effectiveness in m-learning: Scale development and student awareness', *J. Comput. Assist. Learn.*, pp. 1–12, 2017.
- [20] P. S. Seow and S. P. Wong, 'Using a mobile gaming app to enhance accounting education', *J. Educ. Bus.*, vol. 91, no. 8, pp. 434–439, 2016.
- [21] K. C. Li, L. Y. K. Lee, S. L. Wong, I. S. Y. Yau, and B. T. M. Wong, 'Effects of mobile apps for nursing students: learning motivation, social interaction and study performance', *Open Learn.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 99–114, 2018.
- [22] R. Cheung, 'Predicting user intentions for mobile learning in a project-based environment', *Int. J. Electron. Commer. Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 263–280, 2014.
- [23] J. Cheon, S. Lee, S. M. Crooks, and J. Song, 'An investigation of mobile learning readiness in higher education based on the theory of planned behavior', *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1054–1064, 2012.
- [24] K. Mac Callum and L. Jeffrey, 'The influence of students' ICT skills and their adoption of mobile learning', *Australas. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 29, no. 3, 2013.
- [25] G. Siemens and M. Weller, 'Higher education and the promises and perils of social network', *Rev. Univ. y Soc. del Conoc.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 164–170, 2011.
- [26] M. K. Kabilan, N. Ahmad, and M. J. Z. Abidin, 'Facebook: An online environment for learning of English in institutions of higher education?', *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 179–187, 2010.
- [27] A. Susilo, 'Use of Facebook for academic network learning in Universitas Terbuka-Indonesia', *Asian Assoc. Open Univ. J.*, 2008.
- [28] H. Salikin and S. Z. Bin Tahir, 'The social media-based approach in teaching writing at Jember University, Indonesia', *Int. J. English Linguist.*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 46, 2017.
- [29] A. La Hanisi, R. Risdiyany, Y. Dwi Utami, and D. Sulisworo, 'The use of WhatsApp in collaborative learning to improve English teaching and learning process', *Int. J. Res. Stud. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 29–35, 2018.
- [30] U. Rahaded, E. Puspitasari, and D. Hidayati, 'The Impact of Whatsapp Toward UAD Undergraduate Students' Behavior In Learning Process', *Int. J. Educ. Manag. Innov.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 55–68, 2020.
- [31] J. W. Creswell, *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*, 4th ed. Pearson, 2012.
- [32] L. Cohen, L. Manion, K. Morrison, and R. Bell, *Research methods in education*, 8th

ed. London: Routledge, 2018.

- [33] C. M. Ringle, S. Wende, and J.-M. Becker, 'SmartPLS 3'. Boenningstedt: SmartPLS GmbH, <http://www.smartpls.com>, 2015.
- [34] S. K. Ningsih, S. Narahara, and H. Mulyono, 'An exploration of factors contributing to students' unwillingness to communicate in a foreign language across Indonesian secondary schools.', *Int. J. Instr.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 811–824, 2018.
- [35] S. Zulaiha and H. Mulyono, 'Exploring junior high school EFL teachers' training needs of assessment literacy', *Cogent Educ.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 1772943, Jan. 2020.
- [36] A. Lawson-Body, L. Willoughby, L. Lawson-Body, and E. M. Tamandja, 'Students' acceptance of E-books: An application of UTAUT', *J. Comput. Inf. Syst.*, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- [37] H. H. Yang, L. Feng, and J. MacLeod, 'Understanding college students' acceptance of cloud classrooms in flipped instruction: integrating UTAUT and connected classroom climate', *J. Educ. Comput. Res.*, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 1258–1276, 2019.
- [38] V. Venkatesh, M. G. Morris, G. B. Davis, and F. D. Davis, 'User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view', *MIS Q.*, pp. 425–478, 2003.
- [39] D. Z. Dumpit and C. J. Fernandez, 'Analysis of the use of social media in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) using the Technology Acceptance Model', *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 5, 2017.
- [40] K. K. Dwyer, S. G. Bingham, R. E. Carlson, M. Prisbell, A. M. Cruz, and D. A. Fus, 'Communication and connectedness in the classroom: Development of the connected classroom climate inventory', *Commun. Res. Reports*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 264–272, 2004.
- [41] D. Coulson and M. Harvey, 'Scaffolding student reflection for experience-based learning: A framework', *Teach. High. Educ.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 401–413, 2013.
- [42] N. Zacharias, 'Prompting second language writers for productive reflection using narrative questioning prompt', *Indones. JELT*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 115–133, 2019.
- [43] S. Quinton and T. Smallbone, 'Feeding forward: using feedback to promote student reflection and learning—a teaching model', *Innov. Educ. Teach. Int.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 125–135, 2010.
- [44] T. S. C. Farrell, *Reflective language teaching: From research to practice*. London, New York: Continuum, 2007.
- [45] N. Solihati and H. Mulyono, 'A Hybrid classroom instruction in second language teacher education (SLTE): A critical reflection of teacher educators', *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 169–180, 2017.
- [46] C. R. Rayford, 'Reflective practice: The teacher in the mirror', Graduate College University of Nevada, Las Vegas, 2010.
- [47] J. F. Hair Jr, G. T. M. Hult, C. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage publications, 2017.
- [48] S. M. Dhume, M. Y. Pattanshetti, S. S. Kamble, and T. Prasad, 'Adoption of social media by business education students: Application of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)', in *2012 IEEE International Conference on Technology Enhanced Education (ICTEE)*, 2012, pp. 1–10.
- [49] T. Teo, C. B. Lee, C. S. Chai, and S. L. Wong, 'Assessing the intention to use technology among pre-service teachers in Singapore and Malaysia: A multigroup invariance analysis of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)', *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 1000–1009, 2009.
- [50] C. Lai, Q. Wang, and J. Lei, 'What factors predict undergraduate students' use of technology for learning? A case from Hong Kong', *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 569–579, 2012.
- [51] E. Sung and R. Mayer, 'Online multimedia learning with mobile devices and desktop

- computers: An experimental test of Clark's methods-not-media hypothesis', *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 29, pp. 639–647, 2013.
- [52] A. Alzubi and M. Singh, 'The impact of social strategies through smartphones on the Saudi learners' socio-cultural autonomy in EFL reading context', *Int. Electron. J. Elem. Educ.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 31–40, 2018.
- [53] I. S. H. Wai, S. S. Y. Ng, D. K. W. Chiu, K. K. W. Ho, and P. Lo, 'Exploring undergraduate students' usage pattern of mobile apps for education', *J. Librariansh. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 34–47, 2018.
- [54] S. So, 'Mobile instant messaging support for teaching and learning in higher education', *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 31, pp. 32–42, 2016.
- [55] P. Yanti and H. Mulyono, 'Incorporating a Smartphone Video in a Theatrical Activity to Promote an Authentic Language Learning Environment in a Lower Secondary School Classroom', 2020.
- [56] M. Alrasheedi, L. F. Capretz, and A. Raza, 'A systematic review of the critical factors for success of mobile learning in higher education (university students' perspective)', *J. Educ. Comput. Res.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 257–276, 2015.
- [57] H. Hamidi and A. Chavoshi, 'Analysis of the essential factors for the adoption of mobile learning in higher education: A case study of students of the University of Technology', *Telemat. Informatics*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1053–1070, 2018.
- [58] A. Herrington and J. Herrington, 'What is an authentic learning environment?', in *Authentic learning environments in higher education*, A. Herrington and J. Herrington, Eds. Information Science Publishing, 2008, pp. 68–77.

8 Authors

Purnomo Ananto is an associate professor and currently the director of State Polytechnic of Kreatif Media, Jakarta, Indonesia. His research interests include education administration and management and the use of technology for teaching and learning in vocational higher education.

Sri Kusuma Ningsih is a lecturer at University of Muhammdiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA, Jakarta, Indonesia. She obtained her Master's at the same university and is currently pursuing her doctoral study at Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia. Her research mainly focuses on the use of technology for teachers' professional development.



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Fwd: Receipt for Your Payment to CTI - Consulting Technology Information

1 message

Herri Mulyono <herrimulyono@gmail.com>
To: purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com

Tue, Sep 15, 2020 at 11:00 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: service@intl.paypal.com <service@intl.paypal.com>
Date: Tue, Sep 15, 2020 at 10:57 AM
Subject: Receipt for Your Payment to CTI - Consulting Technology Information
To: Herri Mulyono <herrimulyono@gmail.com>



Sep 15, 2020 10:56:49 GMT+07:00
Transaction ID: [8C431778KL125662S](#)

Hello Herri Mulyono,

You sent a payment of €290,00 EUR to CTI - Consulting Technology Information
(paypal@cti-online.net)

It may take a few moments for this transaction to appear in your account.

Merchant

CTI - Consulting Technology Information
paypal@cti-online.net
+49 17638571092

Instructions to merchant

You haven't entered any instructions.

Shipping address - confirmed

Herri Mulyono
Asrama Koterm No 6c Rt 003/012
Kebon Bawang, Tg. Priok
Jakarta Utara,DKI JAKARTA
14320
Indonesia

Shipping details

The seller hasn't provided any shipping details yet.

Description	Unit price	Qty	Amount
iJIM APC Item# 20191	€290,00 EUR	1	€290,00 EUR

Subtotal €290,00 EUR

Total €290,00 EUR

Payment €290,00 EUR

Charge will appear on your credit card statement as "PAYPAL *CTICONSULTI"

Payment sent to paypal@cti-online.net

From amount Rp5.360.515,97 IDR

To amount €290,00 EUR

Exchange rate: 1 IDR = 0,000054099 EUR

Issues with this transaction?

You have 180 days from the date of the transaction to open a dispute in the Resolution Center.

Currency conversion: To complete this transaction, we've converted the payment amount to the currency of your card. Our currency conversion processing fee was added to the exchange rate, set by an external financial institution. For more information about fees, see "Exhibit A - Fees" in our [User Agreement](#).

 Questions? Go to the Help Center at www.paypal.com/id/help.

Please do not reply to this email. This mailbox is not monitored and you will not receive a response. For assistance, log in to your PayPal account and click **Help** in the top right corner of any PayPal page.

You can receive plain text emails instead of HTML emails. To change your Notifications preferences, log in to your account, go to your Profile, and click **My settings**.

Copyright © 1999-2020 PayPal. All rights reserved.

Consumer advisory – PayPal Pte. Ltd., the holder of PayPal's stored value facility, does not require the approval of the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Users are advised to read the terms and conditions carefully.

PayPal PPX001066:1.1:bba4c77fc1e1a



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

iJIM Invoice

9 messages

CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>
To: purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com

Fri, Sep 11, 2020 at 3:49 PM

Dear author,

Please find attached to this e-mail the invoice for your iJIM Article Processing Charges (APC). Once printed, it will be identical to an invoice sent through postal mail. Payment is expected within 10 days from reception of this invoice on. Payment can be made by *bank transfer*, *PayPal* or *credit card* (via PayPal, no account needed).

If you choose to pay by credit card, please use the PayPal link below to pay your invoice. A PayPal account is not necessary.

Copy the link below to your browser to pay by credit card:
(Please note: the link has to be one line!)

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=63CQNE6JB59MN

For payments by bank transfer, please find the details in the attached invoice.
Please note that all bank charges are at the expense of the debtor.

Best regards,
CTI Office

 **20191_200911_iJIM_13863_Ananto.pdf**
201K

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>

Mon, Sep 14, 2020 at 3:39 PM

Dear Sir,

Can you please, send me a paypal link again? the one you gave to me is not working.

Best regards,

Dr. Purnomo
[Quoted text hidden]

CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Mon, Sep 14, 2020 at 4:05 PM

We tested it, it works.
CTI Office
[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>

Mon, Sep 14, 2020 at 4:30 PM

Dear Sir,

Can you please provide me with a direct link other than paypal? I have a critical issue on paying using a paypal method?

Best regards,

Dr. Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>

Tue, Sep 15, 2020 at 11:03 AM

Dear Sir,

I have completed the payment with the receipt attached. I have asked an assistance from a colleague's paypal account to complete the payment.

I hope to hear from you soon regarding the payment and the schedule of our article publication.

Best regards

Dr. Purnomo

On Fri, Sep 11, 2020 at 3:50 PM CTI Office <office@cti-online.net> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>

Tue, Sep 15, 2020 at 11:04 AM

Dear Sir,


I have completed the payment with the receipt attached. I have asked an assistance from a colleague's paypal account to complete the payment.

I hope to hear from you soon regarding the payment and the schedule of our article publication.

Best regards

Dr. Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]

 **Receipt for Your Payment to CTI - Consulting Technology Information.pdf**
63K

CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Wed, Sep 16, 2020 at 5:27 PM

Thank you, payment was well received.

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>

Sun, Sep 20, 2020 at 7:40 PM

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your kind email. Could you please inform me the scheduled publication of our article?

Best regards,

Dr. Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]

CTI Office <office@cti-online.net>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Sun, Sep 20, 2020 at 8:11 PM

Dear author,
your paper is expected to be published in iJIM in November.
Kind regards,
Kathryn Ressler
CTI Office

[Quoted text hidden]

Receipt for Your Payment to CTI - Consulting Technology Information

service@intl.paypal.com <service@intl.paypal.com>
To: Herri Mulyono <herrimulyono@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 15, 2020 at 10:57 AM



Sep 15, 2020 10:56:49 GMT+07:00
Transaction ID: [8C431778KL125662S](#)

Hello Herri Mulyono,

You sent a payment of €290,00 EUR to CTI - Consulting Technology Information
(paypal@cti-online.net)

It may take a few moments for this transaction to appear in your account.

Merchant

CTI - Consulting Technology Information
paypal@cti-online.net
+49 17638571092

Instructions to merchant

You haven't entered any instructions.

Shipping address - confirmed

Herri Mulyono
Asrama Koterm No 6c Rt 003/012
Kebon Bawang, Tg. Priok
Jakarta Utara,DKI JAKARTA
14320
Indonesia

Shipping details

The seller hasn't provided any shipping details yet.

Description	Unit price	Qty	Amount
iJIM APC Item# 20191	€290,00 EUR	1	€290,00 EUR

Subtotal €290,00 EUR**Total** €290,00 EUR**Payment** €290,00 EUR

Charge will appear on your credit card statement as "PAYPAL *CTICONSULTI"

Payment sent to paypal@cti-online.net

From amount Rp5.360.515,97 IDR

To amount €290,00 EUR
Exchange rate: 1 IDR = 0,000054099 EUR

Issues with this transaction?

You have 180 days from the date of the transaction to open a dispute in the Resolution Center.

Currency conversion: To complete this transaction, we've converted the payment amount to the currency of your card. Our currency conversion processing fee was added to the exchange rate, set by an external financial institution. For more information about fees, see "Exhibit A - Fees" in our [User Agreement](#).

 Questions? Go to the Help Center at www.paypal.com/id/help.

Please do not reply to this email. This mailbox is not monitored and you will not receive a response. For assistance, log in to your PayPal account and click **Help** in the top right corner of any PayPal page.

You can receive plain text emails instead of HTML emails. To change your Notifications preferences, log in to your account, go to your Profile, and click **My settings**.

Copyright © 1999-2020 PayPal. All rights reserved.

Consumer advisory – PayPal Pte. Ltd., the holder of PayPal's stored value facility, does not require the approval of the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Users are advised to read the terms and conditions carefully.

PayPal PPX001066:1.1:bba4c77fc1e1a

State Polytechnic of Media Kreatif

Attn.: Purnomo Ananto

Jakarta
Indonesia

purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com

Phone: +49 176 38571092

+1 202 4700551

eFax: +49 12120 294752

office@cti-online.net

www.cti-online.net

VAT No.: DE286045815

Tax ID: 203/190/14773

Member of

INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL 
EDUCATION – New York

Subject: Article Processing Charge iJIM

11 September 2020

Invoice 20191

iJIM APC

€ 290,00

Paper “Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting”

Sum € 290,00

Please note:

This is an invoice without VAT.

Payment Due:

Payable via bank transfer or credit card, net 10 days.

For credit card payments, please use the link included in the email which accompanied this invoice.

Bank account:

Michael Auer, CTI at:
Deutsche Bank, Dresden, Germany
IBAN: DE66 8707 0024 0782 6829 00
BIC : DEUTDEBCHE
Reference: iJIM + Invoice number

Please note that all bank charges (own and foreign) are at the expense of the debtor.

Thank you for working with us.

Michael E. Auer

CTI



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM][13863][Proof Announcement] Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting

1 message

Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-journals.org>

Fri, Sep 25, 2020 at 12:19 AM

Reply-To: support@online-journals.org

To: purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com

Dear Dr. Ananto,

we are happy to announce that your paper is now scheduled in iJIM, Vol. 14, No. 19, 2020 which will be published around 11 November 2020.

Your final version has been sent to the copy-editors – please don't send any other versions of your paper from now on, they cannot be taken into account.

Around 28 October 2020, you will receive an email from our copy-editor team with a camera-ready PDF proof of your paper (watch out for their email in that time and check your SPAM folder, the sender address will be oj.editing@gmail.com, the alias is Online Journals). You will have 3 days to send it back with your comments about possible corrections.

If, after 3 days, our copy-editors don't hear from you, the proof is considered approved by you.

Please note that the content cannot be modified at this stage (this would need new submission and another review round) and that the formatting is the competence of our copy-editors. It's rather meant as a last chance for correcting typos, misspelled author names or make remarks about formatting that changes the comprehension of your content (which may happen occasionally despite the careful work of our copy-editors).

No modifications will be possible after your paper is published.

Thank you for working with us!

Sebastian Schreiter

Technical Editor

online-journals.org



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM] Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting

2 messages

Michael E. Auer <auer@cti-online.net>

Wed, Nov 11, 2020 at 6:50 AM

Reply-To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

To: Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>

Dear Dr Stamatios Papadakis

Apology for sending you this email. I just wondered why my article is still in editing. I have been emailed that the article is to publish in November, but when the new issue is published, i cannot find my paper. I have checked the system, and it is still in editing stage.

Would you please help me address the issu

Best regards,

Dr. Purnomo

International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies
<http://www.i-jim.org>

Stamatios Papadakis <stpapadakis@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 11, 2020 at 3:16 PM

To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Yes, this is logical.

There are too many articles accepted before your paper.
Probably your paper will be published in the next few months.

With regards,

--Stamatios Papadakis, Ph.D.--

Postdoctoral Fellow/Instructor

Faculty of Education | Department of Preschool Education

The University of Crete, Greece

-EU Code Week Ambassador Greece

-EU Robotics National Coordinator Greece

-eTwinning National Ambassador Greece

Online identities:

ResearchGate: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Stamatios_Papadakis

Google Scholar: <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=e3vLZegAAAAJ&hl=el&oi=ao>

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3184-1147>



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail !

[Quoted text hidden]



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM][13863][Proof Announcement] Incorporation of smartphones and social media to promote mobile learning in an Indonesian vocational higher education setting

6 messages

Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-journals.org>
To: purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com

Sat, Oct 31, 2020 at 5:18 PM

Please excuse me, you have already received the email below,. But the dates were wrong. The issue will be published on November 24, and you should receive the proof around November 6. Please apology.

Dear Dr. Ananto,

we are happy to announce that your paper is now scheduled in iJIM, Vol. 14, No. 19, 2020 which will be published around 24 November 2020.

Your final version has been sent to the copy-editors – please don't send any other versions of your paper from now on, they cannot be taken into account.

Around 06 November 2020, you will receive an email from our copy-editor team with a camera-ready PDF proof of your paper (watch out for their email in that time and check your SPAM folder, the sender address will be oj.editing@gmail.com, the alias is Online Journals). You will have 3 days to send it back with your comments about possible corrections.

If, after 3 days, our copy-editors don't hear from you, the proof is considered approved by you.

Please note that the content cannot be modified at this stage (this would need new submission and another review round) and that the formatting is the competence of our copy-editors. It's rather meant as a last chance for correcting typos, misspelled author names or make remarks about formatting that changes the comprehension of your content (which may happen occasionally despite the careful work of our copy-editors).

No modifications will be possible after your paper is published.

Thank you for working with us!
Sebastian Schreiter

Technical Editor
online-journals.org

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-journals.org>

Tue, Nov 10, 2020 at 7:23 PM

Dear Dr Sabastian

Thank you for the email. I have been waiting for the proof on November 6, but have not received it yet. I have checked the spam, and still cannot find it.

I am surprised that the new issue has been published today, though I cannot find my article in the published issue. Would you help me to address the problem, please?

Best regards,

Dr. Purnomo
[Quoted text hidden]

Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-journals.org>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 10, 2020 at 11:47 PM

Your proof has been sent to purnomo.ananto@polimedia.ac.id on November 6th because this is the email address that you have given in the paper as corresponding author.

Sebastian

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-journals.org>

Wed, Nov 11, 2020 at 6:43 AM

Dear Dr Sabastian

Thank you for your the prompt response. Apology for the misunderstanding, but why the paper does not appear in the November issue?

Best regards

Dr. Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]

Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-journals.org>
To: Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 11, 2020 at 4:19 PM

From the email below:

we are happy to announce that your paper is now scheduled in iJIM, Vol. 14, No. 19, 2020 which will be published around 24 November 2020.

Sebastian

[Quoted text hidden]

Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>
To: Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-journals.org>

Thu, Nov 12, 2020 at 1:36 PM

Dear Dr Sabastian

Thank you for your email and the information.

Dr. Purnomo

[Quoted text hidden]



Purnomo Ananto <purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com>

[iJIM][13863][Author PDF] Incorporation of Smartphones and Social Media to Promote Mobile Learning in an Indonesian Vocational Higher Education Setting

1 message

Sebastian Schreiter <support@online-journals.org>

Tue, Nov 24, 2020 at 6:02 PM

Reply-To: support@online-journals.org

To: purnomo.ananto10@gmail.com

Dear Dr. Ananto,

the issue with your paper in it (iJIM, Vol. 14, No. 19, 2020) has just been published. You may now download the PDF version of that issue here:

http://online-engineering.org/dl/iJIM/iJIM_vol14_no19_2020_V.pdf

Thank you for working with us!

Sebastian Schreiter

online-journals.org